

# $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance in Gallium and reactor experiments [1]

Mario A. Acero (a,b,c), Carlo Giunti (b), Marco Laveder (d)

(a) Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino. (b) INFN, Sezione di Torino.

(c) Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Thórique LAPTH, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3. (d) Dipartimento di Fisica "G. Galilei", Università di Padova, and INFN, Sezione di Padova.



#### **ABSTRACT**

The disappearance of electron neutrinos observed in the Gallium radioactive source experiments is analyzed in the effective framework of two-neutrino mixing. We found an indication of neutrino disappearance due to neutrino oscillations with  $\sin^2 2\vartheta \gtrsim 0.03$  and  $\Delta m^2 \gtrsim 0.1\,\mathrm{eV^2}$ . We study the compatibility of this result with the data of the Bugey and Chooz reactor short-baseline antineutrino disappearance experiments, founding an indication in favor of neutrino oscillations with  $0.01 \lesssim \sin^2 2\vartheta \lesssim 0.07$  and  $1.8\,\mathrm{eV^2} \lesssim \Delta m^2 \lesssim 1.9\,\mathrm{eV^2}$ , from the Bugey data, which is compatible with the Gallium allowed region of the mixing parameters. This indication persists in the combined analyses of Gallium, Bugey, and Chooz data.

## INTRODUCTION

Solar, atmospheric, reactor and accelerator neutrino experiments give very robust evidence of three-neutrino mixing [2, 3]. However, data from LSND, MiniBooNE (at low energy) ant the Gallium radiactive source experiments show some anomalies which open a window to the possible existence of exotic neutrino physics beyond the three-neutrino mixing.

The Gallium radiactive source experiments (GALLEX [4] and SAGE [5, 6]) measured a lower electron neutrino flux than the expected one, and this can be interpreted as an indication of the dissapearance of electron neutrinos due to neutrino oscillations.

# **Gallium experiments**

The Gallium radioactive source experiment consist in the detection of electron neutrinos produced by artificial <sup>51</sup>Cr and <sup>37</sup>Ar radioactive sources which decay through electron capture

$$e^{-} + {}^{51}\text{Cr} \rightarrow {}^{51}\text{V} + \nu_{e}$$
 and  $e^{-} + {}^{37}\text{Ar} \rightarrow {}^{37}\text{Cl} + \nu_{e}$ .

The neutrinos are detected through the reaction  $\nu_e +^{71}$  Ga  $\rightarrow^{71}$  Ge  $+ e^-$ . We present the results of the fit of the data of Gallium radioactive source experiments in terms of effective two-neutrino oscillations. The survival probability of electron (anti)neutrinos with energy E at a distance L from the source is given by

$$P_{(-)}(L,E) = 1 - \sin^2 2\vartheta \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m^2 L}{4E}\right),$$
 (1)


where  $\vartheta$  is the mixing angle and  $\Delta m^2$  is the squared-mass difference (see Refs. [2, 3]).

We use the theoretical value of the ratio R of the predicted  $^{71}$ Ge production rates in each of the Gallium radioactive source experiments in the cases of presence and absence of neutrino oscillations given by

$$R = \frac{\int dV L^{-2} \sum_{i} (B.R.)_{i} \sigma_{i} P_{\nu_{e} \to \nu_{e}}(L, E_{i})}{\sum_{i} (B.R.)_{i} \sigma_{i} \int dV L^{-2}},$$
(2)

where i is the index of the  $\nu_e$  lines emitted in  $^{51}{\rm Cr}$  or  $^{37}{\rm Ar}$ .

The result of the combined least-squares analysis of the four Gallium source experiments is shown in Fig. (1). One can see that there is an allowed region in the  $\sin^2 2\vartheta - \Delta m^2$  plane at  $1\sigma$  for  $\Delta m^2 \gtrsim 0.6\,\mathrm{eV}^2$  and  $0.08 \lesssim \sin^2 2\vartheta \lesssim 0.4$ .






Figure 1. (Left) Allowed region in the oscillation parameter space for the individual Gallium ratioactive source experiments. (Right) Allowed regions in the oscillation parameter space and marginal  $\Delta \chi^{2}$ 's parameters for the combined fit of the results of the four radioactive source experiments.

	Ga	Bu	Ga+Bu	Bu+Ch	Ga+Ch	Ga+Bu+Ch
$\chi^2_{\mathrm{min}}$	2.69	46.55	52.59	47.12	6.57	53.40
NDF	2	53	57	54	3	58
GoF	0.26	0.72	0.64	0.73	0.087	0.65
$\sin^2 2\vartheta_{\rm bf}$	0.23	0.043	0.057	0.036	0.079	0.05
$\Delta m_{\rm bf}^2  [{\rm eV}^2]$	2.00	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.73	1.85

**Table 1.** Values of  $\chi^2_{\min}$ , number of degrees of freedom (NDF) and best-fit values of  $\sin^2 2\vartheta$  and  $\Delta m^2$  from the fit of different combinations of the results of the Gallium radioactive source experiments and the Bugey and Chooz reactor experiments.

# **Bugey and Chooz reactor experiments**

Reactor neutrino experiments detect antineutrinos thorugh the reaction  $\bar{\nu}_{\rm e}+{\bf p}\to{\bf n}+{\bf e}^+$ . In this process, the neutrino energy is related with the positron energy by  ${\bf E}_{\nu}={\bf E}_{{\bf e}^+}+{\bf 1.8}{\rm MeV}$ . The Bugey experiment used three source-detector distances (L=15,40.95 m), while in Chooz, used a distance about 1 km.

For the Bugey experiment we use the ratio of observed and expected (in the case of no oscillation) positron spectra given in Fig. 17 of Ref. [7], in which there are  $N_j=25,25,10$  energy bins. We analyze the data with the following  $\chi^2$ :

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \frac{\left[ (Aa_{j} + b (E_{ji} - E_{0})) R_{ji}^{\text{the}} - R_{ji}^{\text{exp}} \right]^{2}}{\sigma_{ji}^{2}} + \frac{(a_{j} - 1)^{2}}{\sigma_{a_{j}}^{2}} \right\} + \frac{(A - 1)^{2}}{\sigma_{A}^{2}} + \frac{b^{2}}{\sigma_{b}^{2}}, \tag{3}$$

where  $E_{ji}$  is the central energy of the *i*th bin in the positron kinetic energy spectrum measured at the  $L_j$  source-detector distance,  $R_{ji}^{\text{exp}}$  is the measures ratio and

$$R_{ji}^{\text{the}} = \frac{\int dL \, L^{-2} \int_{E_{ji} - \Delta E_{j}/2}^{E_{ji} + \Delta E_{j}/2} dE \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dT_{e} \, F(E, T_{e}) \, P_{\bar{\nu}_{e} \to \bar{\nu}_{e}}(L, E_{\nu})}{\Delta E_{j} \int dL \, L^{-2}} \,. \tag{4}$$

In the case of the Chooz experiment, this gives constrains on  $\sin^2 2\vartheta$  for  $\Delta m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{eV}^2$ , so for our porpose, the Chooz experiment is only sensitive to the average survival probability  $\langle P_{(-)} \ _{(-)} \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\,\sin^2 2\vartheta$ .




Figure 2. (Up) Allowed region in the oscillation parameter space and histograms with the Best Fit obtained from for the Bugey reactor experiment (Up-Right). (Down-Left) Allowed regions in the oscillation parameter space for the combined fit of the Bugey and Chooz reactor experiments. (Down-Right) Allowed regions for the combined fit of the Gallium radioactive source experimentes and the Bugey and Chooz reactor experiments.

### Conclusions

In the framework of two-neutrino mixing, we found that, from the analysis of the Gallium radioactive source experiments, there is an indication of electron neutrino disappareance due to neutrino oscillations with  $\sin^2 2\vartheta \gtrsim 0.03$  and  $\Delta m^2 \gtrsim 0.1 \, \text{eV}^2$ . This result is compatible with the data form Bugey and Chooz reactor experiments. Bisides, the Bugey data present an indication in favor of neutrino oscillations with  $0.01 \lesssim \sin^2 2\vartheta \lesssim 0.07$  and  $1.8 \, \text{eV}^2 \Delta m^2 \simeq 1.9 \, \text{eV}^2$ . Such a disappearance of electron neutrinos due to  $\Delta m^2 \gtrsim 0.1 \, \text{eV}^2$  is an indication of the possible existence of at least one light sterile neutrino with a mass of the order about  $1 \, \text{eV}$  [3].

### Refeneces

- [1] Mario A. Acero, Carlo Giunti and Marco Laveder, arXiv:0711.4222.
- [2] S.M. Bilenky and S.T. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987) 671.
- [3] C. Giunti and C.W. Kim, Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [4] GALLEX, W. Hampel et al., Phys. Lett. B420 (1998) 114.
- [4] GALLEX, W. Hampel et al., Phys. Lett. B420 (1998) 114.
  [5] SAGE, J.N. Abdurashitov et al., Phys. Rev. C59 (1999) 2246, arXiv:hep-ph/9803418.
- [6] J.N. Abdurashitov et al., Astropart. Phys. 25 (2006) 349, arXiv:nucl-ex/0509031.
- [7] Bugey, B. Achkar et al., Nucl. Phys. B434 (1995) 503.