Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos

Part I: Theory of Neutrino Masses and Mixing

Carlo Giunti

INFN, Sezione di Torino

and

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino

giunti@to.infn.it

Neutrino Unbound: http://www.nu.to.infn.it

Cours d'Hiver 2017 du LAL   –   3-5 January 2017

http://personalpages.to.infn.it/~giunti/slides/2017/giunti-170103-LAL.pdf


C. Giunti and C.W. Kim
Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics
Oxford University Press
15 March 2007 – 728 pages

Part I: Theory of Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

- Sterile Neutrinos

Fermion Mass Spectrum

Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing
  - Higgs Mechanism in SM
  - SM Extension: Dirac Neutrino Masses
  - Three-Generations Dirac Neutrino Masses
  - Mixing
  - CP Violation
  - Lepton Numbers Violating Processes

- Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

- Sterile Neutrinos

Dirac Mass

▶ Dirac Equation: $(i\not\partial - m)\,\nu(x) = 0$ $\qquad (\not\partial \equiv \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu)$

▶ Dirac Lagrangian: $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{D}}(x) = \overline{\nu}(x)\,(i\not\partial - m)\,\nu(x)$

▶ Chiral decomposition: $\nu_L \equiv P_L \nu\,, \qquad \nu_R \equiv P_R \nu\,, \qquad \nu = \nu_L + \nu_R$

Left and Right-handed Projectors: $\quad P_L \equiv \dfrac{1 - \gamma^5}{2}\,, \quad P_R \equiv \dfrac{1 + \gamma^5}{2}$

$$P_L^2 = P_L\,, \quad P_R^2 = P_R\,, \quad P_L + P_R = 1\,, \quad P_L P_R = P_R P_L = 0$$

$$\mathscr{L} = \overline{\nu_L} i\not\partial \nu_L + \overline{\nu_R} i\not\partial \nu_R - m\,(\overline{\nu_L}\nu_R + \overline{\nu_R}\nu_L)$$

▶ In SM only ν_L by assumption $\Longrightarrow$ no neutrino mass
Note that all the other elementary fermion fields (charged leptons and quarks)
have both left and right-handed components

▶ Oscillation experiments have shown that neutrinos are massive

▶ Simplest and natural extension of the SM: consider also ν_R
as for all the other elementary fermion fields

Higgs Mechanism in SM

- Higgs Doublet: $\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(x) \\ \phi_0(x) \end{pmatrix}$ $|\Phi|^2 = \Phi^\dagger \Phi = \phi_+^\dagger \phi_+ + \phi_0^\dagger \phi_0$

- Higgs Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi) - V(|\Phi|^2)$

- Higgs Potential: $V(|\Phi|^2) = \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda |\Phi|^4$

- $\mu^2 < 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ $\implies$ $V(|\Phi|^2) = \lambda \left(|\Phi|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2$

$$v \equiv \sqrt{-\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} = \left(\sqrt{2} G_F \right)^{-1/2} \simeq 246 \, \text{GeV}$$

- Vacuum: V_{min} for $|\Phi|^2 = \frac{v^2}{2} \implies \langle \Phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$

- Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking: $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_Q$

- Unitary Gauge: $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow |\Phi|^2 = \frac{v^2}{2} + vH + \frac{1}{2} H^2$

- $V = \lambda \left(|\Phi|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 = \lambda v^2 H^2 + \lambda v H^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4} H^4$

$$m_H = \sqrt{2\lambda v^2} = \sqrt{-2\mu^2} \simeq 126\,\text{GeV}$$

$$-\mu^2 \simeq (89\,\text{GeV})^2 \qquad \lambda = -\frac{\mu^2}{v^2} \simeq 0.13$$

SM Extension: Dirac Neutrino Masses

$$L_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \ell_L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \ell_R \qquad \nu_R$$

Lepton-Higgs Yukawa Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -y^\ell \, \overline{L_L} \, \Phi \, \ell_R - y^\nu \, \overline{L_L} \, \widetilde{\Phi} \, \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{\Phi} = i\sigma_2 \, \Phi^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v + H(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\frac{y^\ell}{\sqrt{2}} \, \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu_L} & \overline{\ell_L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix} \ell_R$$

$$-\frac{y^\nu}{\sqrt{2}} \, \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu_L} & \overline{\ell_L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v + H(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -y^\ell \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\ell_L} \, \ell_R - y^\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu_L} \, \nu_R$$

$$-\frac{y^\ell}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\ell_L} \, \ell_R \, H - \frac{y^\nu}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu_L} \, \nu_R \, H + \text{H.c.}$$

$$m_\ell = y^\ell \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad\qquad m_\nu = y^\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$g_{\ell H} = \frac{y^\ell}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{m_\ell}{v} \qquad\qquad g_{\nu H} = \frac{y^\nu}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{m_\nu}{v}$$

$$v = \left(\sqrt{2} G_F\right)^{-1/2} = 246 \, \text{GeV}$$

PROBLEM: $\quad y^\nu \lesssim 10^{-11} \ll y^e \sim 10^{-6}$

Three-Generations Dirac Neutrino Masses

$L'_{eL} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{eL} \\ \ell'_{eL} \equiv e'_L \end{pmatrix}$	$L'_{\mu L} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{\mu L} \\ \ell'_{\mu L} \equiv \mu'_L \end{pmatrix}$	$L'_{\tau L} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{\tau L} \\ \ell'_{\tau L} \equiv \tau'_L \end{pmatrix}$
$\ell'_{eR} \equiv e'_R$	$\ell'_{\mu R} \equiv \mu'_R$	$\ell'_{\tau R} \equiv \tau'_R$
ν'_{eR}	$\nu'_{\mu R}$	$\nu'_{\tau R}$

Lepton-Higgs Yukawa Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \left[Y'^{\ell}_{\alpha\beta} \, \overline{L'_{\alpha L}} \, \Phi \, \ell'_{\beta R} + Y'^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \, \overline{L'_{\alpha L}} \, \widetilde{\Phi} \, \nu'_{\beta R} \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{\Phi} = i\sigma_2 \, \Phi^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v + H(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \left[Y'^{\ell}_{\alpha\beta}\, \overline{\ell'_{\alpha L}}\, \ell'_{\beta R} + Y'^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\, \overline{\nu'_{\alpha L}}\, \nu'_{\beta R} \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[\overline{\ell'_L}\, Y'^{\ell}\, \ell'_R + \overline{\nu'_L}\, Y'^{\nu}\, \nu'_R \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\ell'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e'_L \\ \mu'_L \\ \tau'_L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \ell'_R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e'_R \\ \mu'_R \\ \tau'_R \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nu'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{eL} \\ \nu'_{\mu L} \\ \nu'_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nu'_R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{eR} \\ \nu'_{\mu R} \\ \nu'_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y'^{\ell} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Y'^{\ell}_{ee} & Y'^{\ell}_{e\mu} & Y'^{\ell}_{e\tau} \\ Y'^{\ell}_{\mu e} & Y'^{\ell}_{\mu\mu} & Y'^{\ell}_{\mu\tau} \\ Y'^{\ell}_{\tau e} & Y'^{\ell}_{\tau\mu} & Y'^{\ell}_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad Y'^{\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Y'^{\nu}_{ee} & Y'^{\nu}_{e\mu} & Y'^{\nu}_{e\tau} \\ Y'^{\nu}_{\mu e} & Y'^{\nu}_{\mu\mu} & Y'^{\nu}_{\mu\tau} \\ Y'^{\nu}_{\tau e} & Y'^{\nu}_{\tau\mu} & Y'^{\nu}_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M'^{\ell} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\, Y'^{\ell} \qquad\qquad M'^{\nu} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\, Y'^{\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{H,L} = -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left[\overline{\ell'_L}\,Y'^\ell\,\ell'_R + \overline{\nu'_L}\,Y'^\nu\,\nu'_R\right] + \text{H.c.}$$

Diagonalization of Y'^ℓ and Y'^ν with unitary V_L^ℓ, V_R^ℓ, V_L^ν, V_R^ν

$$\ell'_L = V_L^\ell\,\ell_L \qquad \ell'_R = V_R^\ell\,\ell_R \qquad \nu'_L = V_L^\nu\,\mathbf{n}_L \qquad \nu'_R = V_R^\nu\,\mathbf{n}_R$$

Important general remark: unitary transformations are allowed
because they leave invariant the kinetic terms in the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \overline{\ell'_L}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\ell'_L + \overline{\ell'_R}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\ell'_R + \overline{\nu'_L}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\nu'_L + \overline{\nu'_R}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\nu'_R$$

$$= \overline{\ell_L}\,V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\,V_L^\ell\ell_L + \ldots$$

$$= \overline{\ell_L}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\ell_L + \overline{\ell_R}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\ell_R + \overline{\nu_L}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\nu_L + \overline{\nu_R}\,i\partial\!\!\!/\nu_R$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left[\overline{\ell'_L}\,Y'^\ell\,\ell'_R + \overline{\nu'_L}\,Y'^\nu\,\nu'_R\right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\ell'_L = V^\ell_L\,\ell_L \qquad \ell'_R = V^\ell_R\,\ell_R \qquad \nu'_L = V^\nu_L\,\mathbf{n}_L \qquad \nu'_R = V^\nu_R\,\mathbf{n}_R$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left[\overline{\ell_L}\,V^{\ell\dagger}_L\,Y'^\ell\,V^\ell_R\,\ell_R + \overline{\mathbf{n}_L}\,V^{\nu\dagger}_L\,Y'^\nu\,V^\nu_R\,\mathbf{n}_R\right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$V^{\ell\dagger}_L\,Y'^\ell\,V^\ell_R = Y^\ell \qquad Y^\ell_{\alpha\beta} = y^\ell_\alpha\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (\alpha,\beta = e,\mu,\tau)$$

$$V^{\nu\dagger}_L\,Y'^\nu\,V^\nu_R = Y^\nu \qquad Y^\nu_{kj} = y^\nu_k\,\delta_{kj} \qquad (k,j = 1,2,3)$$

$$\text{Real and Positive } y^\ell_\alpha,\, y^\nu_k$$

$$V^\dagger_L\,Y'\,V_R = Y \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Y' = V_L\,Y\,V^\dagger_R$$

$$18 \qquad 9 \quad 3 \quad 9$$

- Consider the Hermitian matrix $Y'Y'^\dagger$

- It has real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors:
$$Y'Y'^\dagger v_k = \lambda_k v_k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_\beta (Y'Y'^\dagger)_{\alpha\beta}(v_k)_\beta = \lambda_k (v_k)_\alpha$$

- Unitary diagonalizing matrix: $(V_L)_{\beta k} = (v_k)_\beta$
$$Y'Y'^\dagger V_L = \Lambda V_L \quad \Longrightarrow \quad V_L^\dagger Y'Y'^\dagger V_L = \Lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_{kj} = \lambda_k \delta_{kj}$$

- The real eigenvalues λ_k are positive:
$$\lambda_k = \sum_\alpha (V_L^\dagger Y')_{k\alpha}(Y'^\dagger V_L)_{\alpha k} = \sum_\alpha (V_L^\dagger Y')_{k\alpha}(V_L^\dagger Y')_{\alpha k}^\dagger$$
$$= \sum_\alpha (V_L^\dagger Y')_{k\alpha}(V_L^\dagger Y')_{k\alpha}^* = \sum_\alpha |V_L^\dagger Y')_{k\alpha}|^2 \geq 0$$

- Then, we can write $V_L^\dagger Y'Y'^\dagger V_L = Y^2 \quad$ with $\quad (Y)_{kj} = y_k \delta_{kj}$
real and positive $y_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k}$

- Let us write Y' as $Y' = V_L Y V_R^\dagger$

- This is the diagonalizing equation if V_R is unitary.
$$V_R^\dagger = Y^{-1} V_L^\dagger Y' \quad V_R = Y'^\dagger V_L Y^{-1} \quad \text{with} \quad Y^\dagger = Y$$

- $V_R^\dagger V_R = Y^{-1} V_L^\dagger Y' Y'^\dagger V_L Y^{-1} = Y^{-1} Y^2 Y^{-1} = \mathbb{1}$

- $V_R V_R^\dagger = Y'^\dagger V_L Y^{-1} Y^{-1} V_L^\dagger Y' = Y'^\dagger V_L Y^{-2} V_L^\dagger Y'$
$$Y^{-2} = V_L^\dagger (Y'^\dagger)^{-1} (Y')^{-1} V_L$$
$$V_R V_R^\dagger = Y'^\dagger V_L V_L^\dagger (Y'^\dagger)^{-1} (Y')^{-1} V_L V_L^\dagger Y' = Y'^\dagger (Y'^\dagger)^{-1} (Y')^{-1} Y' = \mathbb{1}$$

- In conclusion: $V_L^\dagger Y' V_R = Y$ with unitary V_L and V_R
$$(Y)_{kj} = y_k \delta_{kj} \quad \text{with real and positive } y_k$$

Massive Chiral Lepton Fields

$\boldsymbol{\ell}_L = V_L^{\ell\dagger} \, \boldsymbol{\ell}'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e_L \\ \mu_L \\ \tau_L \end{pmatrix}$	$\boldsymbol{\ell}_R = V_R^{\ell\dagger} \, \boldsymbol{\ell}'_R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e_R \\ \mu_R \\ \tau_R \end{pmatrix}$
$\boldsymbol{n}_L = V_L^{\nu\dagger} \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1L} \\ \nu_{2L} \\ \nu_{3L} \end{pmatrix}$	$\boldsymbol{n}_R = V_R^{\nu\dagger} \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1R} \\ \nu_{2R} \\ \nu_{3R} \end{pmatrix}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{H,L} &= -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[\overline{\boldsymbol{\ell}_L} \, Y^\ell \, \boldsymbol{\ell}_R + \overline{\boldsymbol{n}_L} \, Y^\nu \, \boldsymbol{n}_R \right] + \text{H.c.} \\
&= -\left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} y_\alpha^\ell \, \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, \ell_{\alpha R} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} y_k^\nu \, \overline{\nu_{kL}} \, \nu_{kR} \right] + \text{H.c.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Massive Dirac Lepton Fields

$$\ell_\alpha \equiv \ell_{\alpha L} + \ell_{\alpha R} \qquad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$$

$$\nu_k = \nu_{kL} + \nu_{kR} \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = -\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{y_\alpha^\ell v}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\ell_\alpha} \ell_\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{y_k^\nu v}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu_k} \nu_k \qquad \text{Mass Terms}$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{y_\alpha^\ell}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\ell_\alpha} \ell_\alpha H - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{y_k^\nu}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu_k} \nu_k H \qquad \text{Lepton-Higgs Couplings}$$

Charged Lepton and Neutrino Masses

$$m_\alpha = \frac{y_\alpha^\ell v}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau) \qquad\qquad m_k = \frac{y_k^\nu v}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3)$$

Lepton-Higgs coupling $\propto$ Lepton Mass

Quantization

$$\nu_k(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2E_k} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a_k^{(h)}(p) \, u_k^{(h)}(p) \, e^{-ip\cdot x} + b_k^{(h)\dagger}(p) \, v_k^{(h)}(p) \, e^{ip\cdot x} \right]$$

$$p^0 = E_k = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m_k^2}$$

$$(\slashed{p} - m_k) \, u_k^{(h)}(p) = 0$$
$$(\slashed{p} + m_k) \, v_k^{(h)}(p) = 0$$

$$\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \, u_k^{(h)}(p) = h \, u_k^{(h)}(p)$$

$$\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \, v_k^{(h)}(p) = -h \, v_k^{(h)}(p)$$

$$\{a_k^{(h)}(p), a_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = \{b_k^{(h)}(p), b_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = (2\pi)^3 \, 2E_k \, \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \, \delta_{hh'}$$
$$\{a_k^{(h)}(p), a_k^{(h')}(p')\} = \{a_k^{(h)\dagger}(p), a_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = 0$$
$$\{b_k^{(h)}(p), b_k^{(h')}(p')\} = \{b_k^{(h)\dagger}(p), b_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = 0$$
$$\{a_k^{(h)}(p), b_k^{(h')}(p')\} = \{a_k^{(h)\dagger}(p), b_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = 0$$
$$\{a_k^{(h)}(p), b_k^{(h')\dagger}(p')\} = \{a_k^{(h)\dagger}(p), b_k^{(h')}(p')\} = 0$$

Mixing

Charged-Current Weak Interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{I}}^{(\mathsf{CC})} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}\, j_W^\rho\, W_\rho + \mathsf{H.c.}$$

Weak Charged Current: $\qquad j_W^\rho = j_{W,\mathsf{L}}^\rho + j_{W,\mathsf{Q}}^\rho$

Leptonic Weak Charged Current

$$j_{W,\mathsf{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\ell'_{\alpha\mathsf{L}}}\, \gamma^\rho\, \nu'_{\alpha\mathsf{L}} = 2\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\ell'_{\mathsf{L}}}}\, \gamma^\rho\, \boldsymbol{\nu'_{\mathsf{L}}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\ell'_{\mathsf{L}}} = V_{\mathsf{L}}^\ell\, \boldsymbol{\ell_{\mathsf{L}}} \qquad\qquad \boldsymbol{\nu'_{\mathsf{L}}} = V_{\mathsf{L}}^\nu\, \boldsymbol{n_{\mathsf{L}}}$$

$$j_{W,\mathsf{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\ell_{\mathsf{L}}}}\, V_{\mathsf{L}}^{\ell\dagger}\, \gamma^\rho\, V_{\mathsf{L}}^\nu\, \boldsymbol{n_{\mathsf{L}}} = 2\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\ell_{\mathsf{L}}}}\, \gamma^\rho\, V_{\mathsf{L}}^{\ell\dagger}\, V_{\mathsf{L}}^\nu\, \boldsymbol{n_{\mathsf{L}}} = 2\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\ell_{\mathsf{L}}}}\, \gamma^\rho\, U\, \boldsymbol{n_{\mathsf{L}}}$$

Mixing Matrix

$$\boxed{U = V_{\mathsf{L}}^{\ell\dagger}\, V_{\mathsf{L}}^\nu}$$

- ▶ Definition: Left-Handed Flavor Neutrino Fields

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_L = U\,\boldsymbol{n}_L = V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,V_L^{\nu}\,\boldsymbol{n}_L = V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,\boldsymbol{\nu}'_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ They allow us to write the Leptonic Weak Charged Current as in the SM:

$$j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{\ell}_L}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,\boldsymbol{\nu}_L = 2\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,\nu_{\alpha L}$$

- ▶ Each left-handed flavor neutrino field is associated with the corresponding charged lepton field which describes a massive charged lepton:

$$j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\,(\overline{e_L}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,\nu_{eL} + \overline{\mu_L}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,\nu_{\mu L} + \overline{\tau_L}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,\nu_{\tau L})$$

- ▶ In practice left-handed flavor neutrino fields are useful for calculations in the SM approximation of massless neutrinos (interactions).

- ▶ If neutrino masses must be taken into account, it is necessary to use

$$j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{\ell}_L}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,U\,\boldsymbol{n}_L = 2\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau}\sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}}\,\gamma^{\rho}\,U_{\alpha k}\,\nu_{kL}$$

Flavor Lepton Numbers

Flavor Neutrino Fields are useful for defining
Flavor Lepton Numbers
as in the SM

	L_e	L_μ	L_τ		L_e	L_μ	L_τ
$(\nu_e,\, e^-)$	$+1$	0	0	$(\nu_e^c,\, e^+)$	-1	0	0
$(\nu_\mu,\, \mu^-)$	0	$+1$	0	$(\nu_\mu^c,\, \mu^+)$	0	-1	0
$(\nu_\tau,\, \tau^-)$	0	0	$+1$	$(\nu_\tau^c,\, \tau^+)$	0	0	-1

$$L = L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau$$

Standard Model: Lepton numbers are conserved

- L_e, L_μ, L_τ are conserved in the Standard Model with massless neutrinos

- Dirac mass term:

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{D}} = - \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu_{eL}} & \overline{\nu_{\mu L}} & \overline{\nu_{\tau L}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{ee}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{e\mu}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{e\tau}^{\mathsf{D}} \\ m_{\mu e}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{\mu\mu}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{\mu\tau}^{\mathsf{D}} \\ m_{\tau e}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{\tau\mu}^{\mathsf{D}} & m_{\tau\tau}^{\mathsf{D}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eR} \\ \nu_{\mu R} \\ \nu_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix} + \mathsf{H.c.}$$

L_e, L_μ, L_τ are not conserved

- L is conserved: $\qquad L(\nu_{\alpha R}) = L(\nu_{\beta L}) \implies |\Delta L| = 0$

- Leptonic Weak Charged Current is invariant under the global U(1) gauge transformations

$$\ell_{\alpha L} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \ell_{\alpha L} \qquad \nu_{\alpha L} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \nu_{\alpha L} \qquad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$$

- If neutrinos are massless (SM), Noether's theorem implies that there is, for each flavor, a conserved current:

$$j_\alpha^\rho = \overline{\nu_{\alpha L}} \, \gamma^\rho \, \nu_{\alpha L} + \overline{\ell_\alpha} \, \gamma^\rho \, \ell_\alpha \qquad \partial_\rho j_\alpha^\rho = 0$$

and a conserved charge:

$$\mathsf{L}_\alpha = \int \mathsf{d}^3 x \, j_\alpha^0(x) \qquad \partial_0 \mathsf{L}_\alpha = 0$$

$$:\mathsf{L}_\alpha: \; = \int \frac{\mathsf{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2E} \left[a_{\nu_\alpha}^{(-)\dagger}(p) \, a_{\nu_\alpha}^{(-)}(p) - b_{\nu_\alpha}^{(+)\dagger}(p) \, b_{\nu_\alpha}^{(+)}(p) \right]$$

$$+ \int \frac{\mathsf{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)\dagger}(p) \, a_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)}(p) - b_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)\dagger}(p) \, b_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)}(p) \right]$$

- Lepton-Higgs Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = - \left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left[\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} y_\alpha^\ell \, \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, \ell_{\alpha R} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} y_k^\nu \, \overline{\nu_{kL}} \, \nu_{kR} \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

- Mixing: $\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} \, \nu_{kL}$ $\quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad$ $\nu_{kL} = \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha k}^{*} \, \nu_{\alpha L}$

$$\mathscr{L}_{H,L} = - \left(\frac{v+H}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \left[y_\alpha^\ell \, \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, \ell_{\alpha R} + \overline{\nu_{\alpha L}} \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} \, y_k^\nu \, \nu_{kR} \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

- Invariant for

$$\ell_{\alpha L} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \, \ell_{\alpha L}, \quad \nu_{\alpha L} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \, \nu_{\alpha L}$$

$$\ell_{\alpha R} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \, \ell_{\alpha R}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} \, y_k^\nu \, \nu_{kR} \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} \, y_k^\nu \, \nu_{kR}$$

- But kinetic part of neutrino Lagrangian is not invariant

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{kinetic}}^{(\nu)} = \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\nu_{\alpha L}} \, i\slashed{\partial} \nu_{\alpha L} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\nu_{kR}} \, i\slashed{\partial} \nu_{kR}$$

because $\sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} \, y_k^\nu \, \nu_{kR}$ is not a unitary combination of the ν_{kR}'s

Total Lepton Number

▶ Dirac neutrino masses violate conservation of Flavor Lepton Numbers

▶ Total Lepton Number is conserved, because Lagrangian is invariant under the global U(1) gauge transformations

$$\nu_{kL} \to e^{i\varphi}\,\nu_{kL}\,, \qquad \nu_{kR} \to e^{i\varphi}\,\nu_{kR} \qquad (k=1,2,3)$$
$$\ell_{\alpha L} \to e^{i\varphi}\,\ell_{\alpha L}\,, \qquad \ell_{\alpha R} \to e^{i\varphi}\,\ell_{\alpha R} \qquad (\alpha=e,\mu,\tau)$$

▶ From Noether's theorem:

$$j^\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\nu_k}\,\gamma^\rho\,\nu_k + \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\ell_\alpha}\,\gamma^\rho\,\ell_\alpha \qquad \partial_\rho j^\rho = 0$$

Conserved charge: $\mathsf{L}_\alpha = \displaystyle\int d^3x\, j_\alpha^0(x)$ $\qquad \partial_0 \mathsf{L}_\alpha = 0$

$$:\mathsf{L}: = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\,2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a_{\nu_k}^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,a_{\nu_k}^{(h)}(p) - b_{\nu_k}^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,b_{\nu_k}^{(h)}(p) \right]$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\,2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,a_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)}(p) - b_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,b_{\ell_\alpha}^{(h)}(p) \right]$$

Mixing Matrix

▶ $U = V_L^{\ell\dagger} V_L^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} \end{pmatrix}$

▶ Unitary $N \times N$ matrix depends on N^2 independent real parameters

$$N = 3 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ll} \dfrac{N(N-1)}{2} = 3 & \text{Mixing Angles} \\[2mm] \dfrac{N(N+1)}{2} = 6 & \text{Phases} \end{array}$$

▶ Not all phases are physical observables

▶ Neutrino Lagrangian: kinetic terms + mass terms + weak interactions

▶ Mixing is due to the diagonalization of the mass terms

▶ The kinetic terms are invariant under unitary transformations of the fermion fields

▶ What is the effect of mixing in weak interactions?

- Weak Charged Current: $j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha\mathrm{L}}} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, \nu_{k\mathrm{L}}$

- Apart from the Weak Charged Current, the Lagrangian is invariant under the global phase transformations (6 arbitrary phases)
$$\ell_\alpha \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \ell_\alpha \quad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau), \qquad \nu_k \to e^{i\varphi_k} \nu_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3)$$

- Performing this transformation, the Weak Charged Current becomes
$$j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha\mathrm{L}}} \, e^{-i\varphi_\alpha} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, e^{i\varphi_k} \, \nu_{k\mathrm{L}}$$

$$j_{W,\mathrm{L}}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \underbrace{e^{-i(\varphi_e - \varphi_1)}}_{1} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha\mathrm{L}}} \, \underbrace{e^{-i(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_e)}}_{2} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, \underbrace{e^{i(\varphi_k - \varphi_1)}}_{2} \, \nu_{k\mathrm{L}}$$

- There are 5 independent combinations of the phases of the fields that can be chosen to eliminate 5 of the 6 phases of the mixing matrix

- 5 and not 6 phases of the mixing matrix can be eliminated because a common rephasing of all the lepton fields leaves the Weak Charged Current invariant $\iff$ conservation of Total Lepton Number.

- ▶ The mixing matrix contains 1 Physical Phase.

- ▶ It is convenient to express the 3×3 unitary mixing matrix only in terms of the four physical parameters:

  3 Mixing Angles and 1 Phase

Standard Parameterization of Mixing Matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1L} \\ \nu_{2L} \\ \nu_{3L} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23}-c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23}-s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23}-c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23}-s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_{ab} \equiv \cos\vartheta_{ab} \qquad s_{ab} \equiv \sin\vartheta_{ab} \qquad 0 \le \vartheta_{ab} \le \frac{\pi}{2} \qquad 0 \le \delta_{13} < 2\pi$$

3 Mixing Angles ϑ_{12}, ϑ_{23}, ϑ_{13} and 1 Phase δ_{13}

Standard Parameterization

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example of Different Phase Convention

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23}e^{i\delta_{23}} \\ 0 & -s_{23}e^{-i\delta_{13}} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example of Different Parameterization

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c'_{12} & s'_{12}e^{-i\delta'_{12}} & 0 \\ -s'_{12}e^{i\delta'_{12}} & c'_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c'_{23} & s'_{23} \\ 0 & -s'_{23} & c'_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c'_{13} & 0 & s'_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s'_{13} & 0 & c'_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

CP Violation

▶ $U \neq U^*$ $\implies$ CP Violation (CPV)

▶ General conditions for CP violation (14 conditions):
1. No charged leptons or neutrinos are degenerate in mass (6 conditions)
2. No mixing angle is equal to 0 or $\pi/2$ (6 conditions)
3. The physical phase is different from 0 or π (2 conditions)

▶ These 14 conditions are combined into the single condition
$$\det C \neq 0 \qquad \text{with} \qquad C = -i \left[M'^\nu M'^{\nu\dagger} , M'^\ell M'^{\ell\dagger} \right]$$

$$\det C = -2 J \left(m_{\nu_2}^2 - m_{\nu_1}^2 \right) \left(m_{\nu_3}^2 - m_{\nu_1}^2 \right) \left(m_{\nu_3}^2 - m_{\nu_2}^2 \right)$$
$$\left(m_\mu^2 - m_e^2 \right) \left(m_\tau^2 - m_e^2 \right) \left(m_\tau^2 - m_\mu^2 \right) \neq 0$$

▶ Jarlskog rephasing invariant: $J = \Im\mathfrak{m} \left[U_{e2} U_{e3}^* U_{\mu2}^* U_{\mu3} \right]$

[C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1039, Z. Phys. C 29 (1985) 491]

[O. W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 1841]

[I. Dunietz, O. W. Greenberg, Dan-di Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 2935]

Example: $\vartheta_{12} = 0$

$$U = R_{23} R_{13} W_{12}$$

$$W_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta_{12} & \sin\vartheta_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} & 0 \\ -\sin\vartheta_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} & \cos\vartheta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vartheta_{12} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad W_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{1}$$

real mixing matrix $\qquad U = R_{23} R_{13}$

Example: $\vartheta_{13} = \pi/2$

$$U = R_{23} W_{13} R_{12}$$

$$W_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta_{13} & 0 & \sin\vartheta_{13} e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\vartheta_{13} e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & \cos\vartheta_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vartheta_{13} = \pi/2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad W_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23}-c_{12}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23}-s_{12}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 \\ s_{12}s_{23}-c_{12}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23}-s_{12}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ |U_{\mu 1}|e^{i\lambda_{\mu 1}} & |U_{\mu 2}|e^{i\lambda_{\mu 2}} & 0 \\ |U_{\tau 1}|e^{i\lambda_{\tau 1}} & |U_{\tau 2}|e^{i\lambda_{\tau 2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_{\mu 1} - \lambda_{\mu 2} = \lambda_{\tau 1} - \lambda_{\tau 2} \pm \pi \qquad \lambda_{\tau 1} - \lambda_{\mu 1} = \lambda_{\tau 2} - \lambda_{\mu 2} \pm \pi$$

$$\nu_k \to e^{i\varphi_k}\,\nu_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \qquad \ell_\alpha \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha}\,\ell_\alpha \quad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$$

$$U \to \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_e} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi_\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\varphi_\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ |U_{\mu 1}|e^{i\lambda_{\mu 1}} & |U_{\mu 2}|e^{i\lambda_{\mu 2}} & 0 \\ |U_{\tau 1}|e^{i\lambda_{\tau 1}} & |U_{\tau 2}|e^{i\lambda_{\tau 2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\varphi_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{i(-\delta_{13} - \varphi_e + \varphi_3)} \\ |U_{\mu 1}|e^{i(\lambda_{\mu 1} - \varphi_\mu + \varphi_1)} & |U_{\mu 2}|e^{i(\lambda_{\mu 2} - \varphi_\mu + \varphi_2)} & 0 \\ |U_{\tau 1}|e^{i(\lambda_{\tau 1} - \varphi_\tau + \varphi_1)} & |U_{\tau 2}|e^{i(\lambda_{\tau 2} - \varphi_\tau + \varphi_2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varphi_1 = 0 \qquad \varphi_\mu = \lambda_{\mu 1} \qquad \varphi_\tau = \lambda_{\tau 1} \qquad \varphi_2 = \varphi_\mu - \lambda_{\mu 2} = \lambda_{\mu 1} - \lambda_{\mu 2}$$

$$\varphi_2 = \varphi_\tau - \lambda_{\tau 2} \pm \pi = \lambda_{\tau 1} - \lambda_{\tau 2} \pm \pi = \lambda_{\mu 1} - \lambda_{\mu 2} \qquad \text{OK!}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \\ |U_{\mu 1}| & |U_{\mu 2}| & 0 \\ |U_{\tau 1}| & -|U_{\tau 2}| & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: $m_{\nu_2} = m_{\nu_3}$

$$j^\rho_{W,L} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{n_L}}\,U^\dagger\,\gamma^\rho\,\boldsymbol{\ell}_L$$

$$U = R_{12}R_{13}W_{23} \quad \implies \quad j^\rho_{W,L} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{n_L}}\,W^\dagger_{23}R^\dagger_{13}R^\dagger_{12}\,\gamma^\rho\,\boldsymbol{\ell}_L$$

$$W_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\vartheta_{23} & \sin\vartheta_{23}e^{-i\delta_{23}} \\ 0 & -\sin\vartheta_{23}e^{-i\delta_{23}} & \cos\vartheta_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$W_{23}\boldsymbol{n}_L = \boldsymbol{n}'_L \qquad R_{12}R_{13} = U' \quad \implies \quad j^\rho_{W,L} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{n'_L}}\,U'^\dagger\,\gamma^\rho\,\boldsymbol{\ell}_L$$

ν_2 and ν_3 are indistinguishable

drop the prime $\quad \implies \quad j^\rho_{W,L} = 2\,\overline{\boldsymbol{n_L}}\,U^\dagger\,\gamma^\rho\,\boldsymbol{\ell}_L$

real mixing matrix $\qquad U = R_{12}R_{13}$

Jarlskog Rephasing Invariant

- Simplest rephasing invariants: $\quad |U_{\alpha k}|^2 = U_{\alpha k} U_{\alpha k}^*\,, \quad U_{\alpha k} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta k}^* U_{\beta j}$

- Simplest CPV rephasing invariants: $\quad \mathfrak{Im}\big[U_{\alpha k} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta k}^* U_{\beta j}\big] = \pm J$

$$J = \mathfrak{Im}\big[U_{e2} U_{e3}^* U_{\mu 2}^* U_{\mu 3}\big] = \mathfrak{Im} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \circ & \times \\ \cdot & \times & \circ \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

- In standard parameterization:

$$\begin{aligned} J &= c_{12} s_{12} c_{23} s_{23} c_{13}^2 s_{13} \sin \delta_{13} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\vartheta_{12} \sin 2\vartheta_{23} \cos \vartheta_{13} \sin 2\vartheta_{13} \sin \delta_{13} \end{aligned}$$

- Jarlskog invariant is useful for quantifying CP violation due to $U \neq U^*$ in a parameterization-independent way.

- All measurable CP-violation effects depend on J.

Maximal CP Violation

▶ Maximal CP violation is defined as the case in which $|J|$ has its maximum possible value

$$|J|_{\max} = \text{Max}|\underbrace{c_{12}s_{12}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{c_{23}s_{23}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{c_{13}^2 s_{13}}_{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}}\underbrace{\sin\delta_{13}}_{1}| = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}}$$

▶ In the standard parameterization it is obtained for

$$\vartheta_{12} = \vartheta_{23} = \pi/4, \quad s_{13} = 1/\sqrt{3}, \quad \sin\delta_{13} = \pm 1$$

▶ This case is called Trimaximal Mixing. All the absolute values of the elements of the mixing matrix are equal to $1/\sqrt{3}$:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \mp\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \mp i \\ -e^{\pm i\pi/6} & e^{\mp i\pi/6} & 1 \\ e^{\mp i\pi/6} & -e^{\pm i\pi/6} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

GIM Mechanism

▶ Neutral-Current Weak Interaction Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L}_I^{(NC)} = -\frac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_W} j_Z^\rho Z_\rho \qquad j_Z^\rho = j_{Z,L}^\rho + j_{Z,Q}^\rho$$

▶ Leptonic Weak Neutral Current: $(g_L^\nu = \frac{1}{2}, g_L^\ell = -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2\vartheta_W, g_R^\ell = \sin^2\vartheta_W)$

$$j_{Z,L}^\rho = 2g_L^\nu \,\overline{\nu_L'}\,\gamma^\rho\,\nu_L' + 2g_L^\ell \,\overline{\ell_L'}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_L' + 2g_R^\ell \,\overline{\ell_R'}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_R'$$

▶ Invariant under mixing transformations with unitarity V_L^ℓ, V_R^ℓ, V_L^ν:

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{Z,L}^\rho &= 2g_L^\nu \,\overline{n_L}\, V_L^{\nu\dagger}\,\gamma^\rho\, V_L^\nu\, n_L + 2g_L^\ell \,\overline{\ell_L}\, V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,\gamma^\rho\, V_L^\ell\, \ell_L + 2g_R^\ell \,\overline{\ell_R}\, V_R^{\ell\dagger}\,\gamma^\rho\, V_R^\ell\, \ell_R \\
&= 2g_L^\nu \,\overline{n_L}\,\gamma^\rho\, n_L + 2g_L^\ell \,\overline{\ell_L}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_L + 2g_R^\ell \,\overline{\ell_R}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_R
\end{aligned}$$

▶ Invariant also under the mixing transformation $\nu_L = U\, n_L$ which defines the flavor neutrino fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{Z,L}^\rho &= 2g_L^\nu \,\overline{\nu_L}\, U\,\gamma^\rho\, U^\dagger\, \nu_L + 2g_L^\ell \,\overline{\ell_L}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_L + 2g_R^\ell \,\overline{\ell_R}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_R \\
&= 2g_L^\nu \,\overline{\nu_L}\,\gamma^\rho\, \nu_L + 2g_L^\ell \,\overline{\ell_L}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_L + 2g_R^\ell \,\overline{\ell_R}\,\gamma^\rho \ell_R
\end{aligned}$$

▶ Mixing has no effect in neutral-current weak interactions.

Lepton Numbers Violating Processes

Dirac mass term allows L_e, L_μ, L_τ violating processes

Example: $\mu^\pm \to e^\pm + \gamma$, $\qquad \mu^\pm \to e^\pm + e^+ + e^-$

$$\boxed{\mu^- \to e^- + \gamma}$$

$$\sum_k U_{\mu k}^* U_{ek} = 0 \implies \text{GIM suppression:} \quad A \propto \sum_k U_{\mu k}^* U_{ek} f(m_k)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_I^{(\text{CC})} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \, W^\alpha \left[\overline{\nu_e} \gamma_\alpha \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) e + \overline{\nu_\mu} \gamma_\alpha \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) \mu + \ldots \right]$$

$$= -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \, W^\alpha \sum_k \left[\overline{\nu_k} U_{ek}^* \gamma_\alpha \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) e + \overline{\nu_k} U_{\mu k}^* \gamma_\alpha \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) \mu + \ldots \right]$$

$$A \propto \sum_k \overline{u_e} U_{ek} \gamma_\alpha \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) \frac{\slashed{p} + \cancel{m_k}}{p^2 - m_k^2} U_{\mu k}^* \gamma_\beta \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) u_\mu$$

$$\frac{1}{p^2 - m_k^2} = p^{-2}\left(1 - \frac{m_k^2}{p^2}\right)^{-1} \simeq p^{-2}\left(1 + \frac{m_k^2}{p^2}\right)$$

$$A \propto \sum_k U_{ek} U_{\mu k}^*\left(1 + \frac{m_k^2}{p^2}\right) = \sum_k U_{ek} U_{\mu k}^* \frac{m_k^2}{p^2} \rightarrow \sum_k U_{ek} U_{\mu k}^* \frac{m_k^2}{m_W^2}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3} \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \underbrace{\left|\sum_k U_{ek} U_{\mu k}^* \frac{m_k^2}{m_W^2}\right|^2}_{\text{BR}}$$

[Petcov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 25 (1977) 340; Bilenky, Petcov, Pontecorvo, PLB 67 (1977) 309; Lee, Shrock, PRD 16 (1977) 1444]

Suppression factor: $\dfrac{m_k}{m_W} \lesssim 10^{-11}$ for $m_k \lesssim 1\,\text{eV}$

$(\text{BR})_{\text{the}} \lesssim 10^{-47}$ $(\text{BR})_{\text{exp}} \lesssim 10^{-11}$

Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing
  - Two-Component Theory of a Massless Neutrino
  - Majorana Equation
  - CP Symmetry
  - Effective Majorana Mass
  - Mixing of Three Majorana Neutrinos

- Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

- Sterile Neutrinos

Two-Component Theory of a Massless Neutrino

▶ Dirac Equation: $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0$

▶ Chiral decomposition of a Fermion Field: $\psi = \psi_L + \psi_R$

▶ Equations for the Chiral components are coupled by mass:
$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L = m\,\psi_R$$
$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R = m\,\psi_L$$

▶ They are decoupled for a massless fermion: Weyl Equations (1929)
$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L = 0$$
$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R = 0$$

▶ A massless fermion can be described by a single chiral field ψ_L or ψ_R (Weyl Spinor).

- Chiral representation of γ matrices:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_L = \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_R = \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Four-components Dirac spinor: $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R \\ \chi_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{R1} \\ \chi_{R2} \\ \chi_{L1} \\ \chi_{L2} \end{pmatrix}$

- The Weyl spinors ψ_L and ψ_R have only two components:

$$\psi_L = P_L \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_L \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi_{L1} \\ \chi_{L2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \psi_R = P_R \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{R1} \\ \chi_{R2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

► The possibility to describe a physical particle with a Weyl spinor was rejected by Pauli in 1933 because it leads to parity violation ($\psi_L \overset{P}{\rightleftharpoons} \psi_R$)

► Parity is the symmetry of space inversion (mirror transformation)

right-handed frame   mirror   left-handed frame

► Parity was considered to be an exact symmetry of nature

► 1956: Lee and Yang understand that Parity can be violated in Weak Interactions (1957 Physics Nobel Prize)

► 1957: Wu et al. discover Parity violation in β-decay of ^{60}Co

- ▶ Parity: $x^\mu = (x^0, \vec{x}) \overset{P}{\longrightarrow} x_P^\mu = (x^0, -\vec{x}) = x_\mu$

- ▶ The transformation of a fermion field $\psi(x)$ under parity is determined from the invariance of the theory under parity.

- ▶ Dirac Lagrangian:
$$\mathscr{L}_D(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\left(i\slashed{\partial} - m\right)\psi(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\left(i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\gamma^k\partial_k - m\right)\psi(x)$$
$$\downarrow P$$
$$\overline{\psi}^P(x_P)\left(i\gamma^0\partial_0 - i\gamma^k\partial_k - m\right)\psi^P(x_P)$$

- ▶ It is equal to $\mathscr{L}_D(x_P)$ if $\boxed{\psi^P(x_P) = \xi_P\,\gamma^0\,\psi(x)}$

- ▶ Invariance is obtained from the action because $\left|\dfrac{\partial x_P}{\partial x}\right| = 1$:
$$I_D = \int d^4x\,\mathscr{L}_D(x) = \int d^4x_P\,\mathscr{L}_D(x_P)$$

- $\psi(x) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \psi^{\text{P}}(x_{\text{P}}) = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \gamma^0 \, \psi(x)$

- $\psi_L(x) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \psi_L^{\text{P}}(x_{\text{P}}) = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \gamma^0 \, \psi_L(x)$

- $P_L \psi_L^{\text{P}} = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \dfrac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \, \gamma^0 \, \psi_L = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \gamma^0 \, \dfrac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} \, \psi_L = 0$

- $P_R \psi_L^{\text{P}} = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \dfrac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} \, \gamma^0 \, \psi_L = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \gamma^0 \, \dfrac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \, \psi_L = \psi_L^{\text{P}}$

- Therefore ψ_L^{P} is right-handed: in this sense $\psi_L \overset{\text{P}}{\rightleftharpoons} \psi_R$

- Explicit proof in the chiral representation:

$$\psi_L^{\text{P}} = \xi_{\text{P}} \, \gamma^0 \, \psi_L = \xi_{\text{P}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_L \end{pmatrix} = -\xi_{\text{P}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

► The discovery of parity violation in 1956-57 invalidated Pauli's reasoning, opening the possibility to describe massless particles with Weyl spinor fields $\implies$ Two-component Theory of a Massless Neutrino (1957)

► 1958: Goldhaber, Grodzins and Sunyar measured the polarization of the neutrino in the electron capture $e^- + {}^{152}\text{Eu} \rightarrow {}^{152}\text{Sm}^* + \nu_e$, with the subsequent decay ${}^{152}\text{Sm}^* \rightarrow {}^{152}\text{Sm} + \gamma \implies$ neutrinos are left-handed $\implies \nu_L$ [PR 109 (1958) 1015]

Left-Handed Neutrinos

▶ 1958: Goldhaber, Grodzins and Sunyar measure neutrino helicity

$$h_\gamma = h_{\mathsf{Sm}^*} = h_\nu = -1 \qquad h_\gamma = h_{\mathsf{Sm}^*} = h_\nu = +1$$

$h_\gamma = -0.91 \pm 0.19 \Longrightarrow$ NEUTRINOS ARE LEFT-HANDED: ν_L

$V - A$ Weak Interactions

- The Fermi Hamiltonian (1934) $H_\beta = g \left(\overline{p} \gamma^\alpha n \right) \left(\overline{e} \gamma^\alpha \nu \right) + \text{H.c.}$
  explained only nuclear decays with $\Delta J = 0$.

- 1936: Gamow and Teller extension to describe observed nuclear decays
  with $|\Delta J| = 1$: [PR 49 (1936) 895]

$$H_\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{5} \left[g_j \left(\overline{p} \, \Omega^j \, n \right) \left(\overline{e} \, \Omega_j \, \nu_e \right) + g_j' \left(\overline{p} \, \Omega^j \, n \right) \left(\overline{e} \, \Omega_j \, \gamma_5 \, \nu_e \right) \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\text{with} \quad \Omega^1 = 1, \, \Omega^2 = \gamma^\alpha, \, \Omega^3 = \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \, \Omega^4 = \gamma^\alpha \gamma^5, \, \Omega^5 = \gamma^5$$

- 1958: Using simplicity arguments, Feynman and Gell-Mann, Sudarshan
  and Marshak, Sakurai propose the universal theory of parity-violating
  $V - A$ Weak Interactions:

$$H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \left[\overline{p} \gamma^\alpha \left(1 - \gamma^5 \right) n \right] \left[\overline{e} \gamma^\alpha \left(1 - \gamma^5 \right) \nu \right]$$

$$+ \left[\overline{\nu} \gamma^\alpha \left(1 - \gamma^5 \right) \mu \right] \left[\overline{e} \gamma^\alpha \left(1 - \gamma^5 \right) \nu \right] \Big\} + \text{H.c.}$$

in agreement with $\nu_L = \dfrac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \nu$

Standard Model

▶ Glashow (1961), Weinberg (1967) and Salam (1968) formulate the Standard Model of ElectroWeak Interactions (1979 Physics Nobel Prize) assuming that neutrinos are massless and left-handed

▶ Universal $V - A$ Weak Interactions

▶ Quantum Field Theory: $\nu_L \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\nu(h = -1)\rangle \quad$ and $\quad |\bar{\nu}(h = +1)\rangle$

▶ Parity is violated: $\qquad \nu_L \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \nu_R \qquad\qquad |\nu(h = -1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{P}} |\nu(h = -1)\rangle$

left-handed neutrino   mirror   right-handed neutrino

▶ Particle-Antiparticle symmetry (Charge Conjugation) is violated:
$$\nu_L \xrightarrow{\text{C}} \nu_R \qquad\qquad |\nu(h = -1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{C}} |\bar{\nu}(h = -1)\rangle$$

- Charge conjugation: $\psi(x) \xrightarrow{\text{C}} \psi^c(x) = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \mathcal{C} \, \overline{\psi}^T(x)$

- Charge conjugation matrix: $\mathcal{C} \, \gamma_\mu^T \, \mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\gamma_\mu, \quad \mathcal{C}^\dagger = \mathcal{C}^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{C}^T = -\mathcal{C}$

- Useful property: $\mathcal{C} \, (\gamma^5)^T \, \mathcal{C}^{-1} = \gamma^5$

- $\psi_L(x) \xrightarrow{\text{C}} \psi_L^c(x) = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \mathcal{C} \, \overline{\psi_L}^T(x)$

- $P_L \psi_L^c = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \dfrac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \, \mathcal{C} \, \overline{\psi_L}^T = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \mathcal{C} \, \dfrac{1 - (\gamma^5)^T}{2} \, \overline{\psi_L}^T = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \mathcal{C} \, (\overline{\psi_L} P_L)^T = 0$

- $P_R \psi_L^c = \xi_{\text{C}} \, \mathcal{C} \, (\overline{\psi_R} P_L)^T = \psi_L^c$

- Therefore ψ_L^c is right-handed: in this sense $\psi_L \overset{\text{C}}{\rightleftharpoons} \psi_R$

- Explicit proof in the chiral representation: $\mathcal{C} = -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$

$\psi_L^c = -\xi_{\text{C}} \gamma^0 \mathcal{C} \psi_L^* = \xi_{\text{C}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (-i) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_L^* \end{pmatrix} = \xi_{\text{C}} \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 \chi_L^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Helicity and Chirality

$$\psi_L(x) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a^{(h)}(p) \, u_L^{(h)}(p) \, e^{-ip\cdot x} + b^{(h)\dagger}(p) \, v_L^{(h)}(p) \, e^{ip\cdot x} \right]$$

$$u^{(h)\dagger}(p) u^{(h)}(p) = 2E \qquad\qquad u^{(h)\dagger}(p)\gamma^5 u^{(h)}(p) = 2h|\vec{p}|$$

$$v^{(h)\dagger}(p) v^{(h)}(p) = 2E \qquad\qquad v^{(h)\dagger}(p)\gamma^5 v^{(h)}(p) = -2h|\vec{p}|$$

$$u_L^{(h)\dagger}(p) u_L^{(h)}(p) = u^{(h)\dagger}(p) \left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \right) u^{(h)}(p) = E - h|\vec{p}|$$

$$u_L^{(-)\dagger}(p) u_L^{(-)}(p) = E + |\vec{p}| \simeq 2E - \frac{m^2}{2E}$$

$$u_L^{(+)\dagger}(p) u_L^{(+)}(p) = E - |\vec{p}| \simeq \frac{m^2}{2E}$$

$$v_L^{(h)\dagger}(p) v_L^{(h)}(p) = v^{(h)\dagger}(p) \left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \right) v^{(h)}(p) = E + h|\vec{p}|$$

$$v_L^{(-)\dagger}(p) v_L^{(-)}(p) = E - |\vec{p}| \simeq \frac{m^2}{2E}$$

$$v_L^{(+)\dagger}(p) v_L^{(+)}(p) = E + |\vec{p}| \simeq 2E - \frac{m^2}{2E}$$

Majorana Equation

▶ Can a two-component spinor describe a massive fermion?

Yes! (E. Majorana, 1937)

▶ Trick: ψ_R and ψ_L are not independent: $\boxed{\psi_R = \psi_L^c = \mathcal{C}\,\overline{\psi_L}^T}$

charge-conjugation matrix: $\mathcal{C}\,\gamma_\mu^T\,\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\gamma_\mu$

▶ ψ_L^c is right-handed: $P_R\psi_L^c = \psi_L^c \qquad P_L\psi_L^c = 0$

▶ $i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_L = m\,\psi_R \quad \rightarrow \quad \boxed{i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_L = m\,\psi_L^c}$ Majorana equation

▶ Majorana field: $\psi = \psi_L + \psi_R = \psi_L + \psi_L^c$

$\boxed{\psi = \psi^c}$ Majorana condition

- ▶ $\psi = \psi^c$ implies the equality of particle and antiparticle

- ▶ Only neutral fermions can be Majorana particles

- ▶ For a Majorana field, the electromagnetic current vanishes identically:

$$\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = \overline{\psi^c}\gamma^\mu\psi^c = -\psi^T\mathcal{C}^\dagger\gamma^\mu\mathcal{C}\overline{\psi}^T = \overline{\psi}\mathcal{C}\gamma^{\mu\,T}\mathcal{C}^\dagger\psi = -\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = 0$$

- ▶ Only two independent components: $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2\chi_L^* \\ \chi_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{L2}^* \\ -\chi_{L1}^* \\ \chi_{L1} \\ \chi_{L2} \end{pmatrix}$

Majorana Lagrangian

Dirac Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{D}} = \overline{\nu}\,(i\slashed{\partial} - m)\,\nu$$

$$= \overline{\nu_L}\,i\slashed{\partial}\nu_L + \overline{\nu_R}\,i\slashed{\partial}\nu_R - m\left(\overline{\nu_R}\,\nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\,\nu_R\right)$$

$$\nu_R \;\;\rightarrow\;\; \nu_L^c = \mathcal{C}\,\overline{\nu_L}^T$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{D}} \;\;\rightarrow\;\; \overline{\nu_L}\,i\slashed{\partial}\,\nu_L - \frac{m}{2}\left(-\nu_L^T\,\mathcal{C}^\dagger\,\nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\,\mathcal{C}\,\overline{\nu_L}^T\right)$$

Majorana Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{M}} = \overline{\nu_L}\,i\slashed{\partial}\,\nu_L - \frac{m}{2}\left(-\nu_L^T\,\mathcal{C}^\dagger\,\nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\,\mathcal{C}\,\overline{\nu_L}^T\right)$$

$$= \overline{\nu_L}\,i\slashed{\partial}\,\nu_L - \frac{m}{2}\left(\overline{\nu_L^c}\,\nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\,\nu_L^c\right)$$

- Majorana field: $\nu = \nu_L + \nu_L^c$
  such that it satisfies the Majorana condition $\nu^c = \nu$

- Majorana Lagrangian: $\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{M}} = \dfrac{1}{2}\,\overline{\nu}\,(i\slashed{\partial} - m)\,\nu|_{\nu = \nu^c}$

- Quantized Dirac Neutrino Field:
  $$\nu(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3\,2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a^{(h)}(p)\,u^{(h)}(p)\,e^{-ip\cdot x} + b^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,v^{(h)}(p)\,e^{ip\cdot x} \right]$$

- Quantized Majorana Neutrino Field $[b^{(h)}(p) = a^{(h)}(p)]$
  $$\nu(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3\,2E} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[a^{(h)}(p)\,u^{(h)}(p)\,e^{-ip\cdot x} + a^{(h)\dagger}(p)\,v^{(h)}(p)\,e^{ip\cdot x} \right]$$

- A Majorana field has half the degrees of freedom of a Dirac field

Lepton Number

$$L \cancel{=} +1 \quad \longleftarrow \quad \boxed{\nu = \nu^c} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L \cancel{=} -1$$

$$\nu_L \implies L = +1 \qquad \nu_L^c \implies L = -1$$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{M}} = \overline{\nu_L}\, i\slashed{\partial}\, \nu_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\overline{\nu_L^c}\, \nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\, \nu_L^c \right)$$

Total Lepton Number is not conserved: $\qquad \boxed{\Delta L = \pm 2}$

Best process to find violation of Total Lepton Number:

Neutrinoless Double-β Decay

$$\mathcal{N}(A, Z) \to \mathcal{N}(A, Z + 2) + 2e^- + \cancel{2\overline{\nu}_e} \qquad (\beta\beta_{0\nu}^-)$$
$$\mathcal{N}(A, Z) \to \mathcal{N}(A, Z - 2) + 2e^+ + \cancel{2\nu_e} \qquad (\beta\beta_{0\nu}^+)$$

CP Symmetry

▶ Under a CP transformation

$$\nu_L(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \xi_\nu^{\text{CP}} \gamma^0 \nu_L^c(x_{\text{P}})$$

$$\nu_L^c(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} -\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}*} \gamma^0 \nu_L(x_{\text{P}})$$

$$\overline{\nu_L}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \xi_\nu^{\text{CP}*} \overline{\nu_L^c}(x_{\text{P}}) \gamma^0$$

$$\overline{\nu_L^c}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} -\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}} \overline{\nu_L}(x_{\text{P}}) \gamma^0$$

with $|\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}}|^2 = 1$, $x^\mu = (x^0, \vec{x})$, and $x_{\text{P}}^\mu = (x^0, -\vec{x})$

▶ The theory is CP-symmetric if there are values of the phase ξ_ν^{CP} such that the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\mathscr{L}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \mathscr{L}(x_{\text{P}})$$

in order to keep invariant the action $I = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \mathscr{L}(x)$

- The Majorana Mass Term
$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{M}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\, m \left[\overline{\nu_L^c}(x)\, \nu_L(x) + \overline{\nu_L}(x)\, \nu_L^c(x) \right]$$

transforms as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{M}}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} -\frac{1}{2}\, m \left[-(\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}})^2\, \overline{\nu_L}(x_{\text{P}})\, \nu_L^c(x_{\text{P}}) \right.$$
$$\left. -(\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}*})^2\, \overline{\nu_L^c}(x_{\text{P}})\, \nu_L(x_{\text{P}}) \right]$$

- $\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{M}}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{M}}(x_{\text{P}})$ for $\boxed{\xi_\nu^{\text{CP}} = \pm i}$

- The one-generation Majorana theory is CP-symmetric

- The Majorana case is different from the Dirac case, in which the CP phase ξ_ν^{CP} is arbitrary

No Majorana Neutrino Mass in the SM

▶ Majorana Mass Term $\propto \left[\nu_L^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \nu_L - \overline{\nu_L} \, \mathcal{C} \, \overline{\nu_L}^T \right]$ involves only the neutrino left-handed chiral field ν_L, which is present in the SM

▶ Eigenvalues of the weak isospin I, of its third component I_3, of the hypercharge Y and of the charge Q of the lepton and Higgs multiplets:

		I	I_3	Y	$Q = I_3 + \frac{Y}{2}$
lepton doublet	$L_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \ell_L \end{pmatrix}$	$1/2$	$1/2$	-1	0
			$-1/2$		-1
lepton singlet	ℓ_R	0	0	-2	-1
Higgs doublet	$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(x) \\ \phi_0(x) \end{pmatrix}$	$1/2$	$1/2$	$+1$	1
			$-1/2$		0

▶ $\nu_L^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \nu_L$ has $I_3 = 1$ and $Y = -2 \Longrightarrow$ needed $Y = 2$ Higgs triplet ($I = 1$, $I_3 = -1$)

▶ Compare with Dirac Mass Term $\propto \overline{\nu_R} \nu_L$ with $I_3 = 1/2$ and $Y = -1$ balanced by $\phi_0 \to v$ with $I_3 = -1/2$ and $Y = +1$

Confusing Majorana Antineutrino Terminology

▶ A Majorana neutrino is the same as a Majorana antineutrino

▶ Neutrino interactions are described by the CC and NC Lagrangians

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{I,L}}^{\mathsf{CC}} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{\nu_L}\, \gamma^\mu\, \ell_L\, W_\mu + \overline{\ell_L}\, \gamma^\mu\, \nu_L\, W_\mu^\dagger \right)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{I,\nu}}^{\mathsf{NC}} = -\frac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_{\mathsf{W}}}\, \overline{\nu_L}\, \gamma^\mu\, \nu_L\, Z_\mu$$

▶ Dirac: $\nu_L \begin{cases} \text{destroys left-handed neutrinos} \\ \text{creates right-handed antineutrinos} \end{cases}$

▶ Majorana: $\nu_L \begin{cases} \text{destroys left-handed neutrinos} \\ \text{creates right-handed neutrinos} \end{cases}$

▶ Common implicit definitions:

   left-handed Majorana neutrino ≡ neutrino
   right-handed Majorana neutrino ≡ antineutrino

Effective Majorana Mass

▶ Dimensional analysis: Fermion Field $\sim [E]^{3/2}$ Boson Field $\sim [E]$

▶ Dimensionless action: $I = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \mathscr{L}(x) \implies \mathscr{L}(x) \sim [E]^4$

▶ Kinetic terms: $\overline{\psi} i \partial\!\!\!/ \psi \sim [E]^4, \quad (\partial_\mu \phi)^\dagger \partial^\mu \phi \sim [E]^4$

▶ Mass terms: $m \overline{\psi} \psi \sim [E]^4, \quad m^2 \phi^\dagger \phi \sim [E]^4$

▶ CC weak interaction: $g \, \overline{\nu_L} \gamma^\rho \ell_L \, W_\rho \sim [E]^4$

▶ Yukawa couplings: $y \, \overline{L_L} \Phi \ell_R \sim [E]^4$

▶ Product of fields $\mathscr{O}_d$ with energy dimension $d \equiv$ dim-d operator

▶ $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathscr{O}_d)} = C_{(\mathscr{O}_d)} \mathscr{O}_d \implies C_{(\mathscr{O}_d)} \sim [E]^{4-d}$

▶ $\mathscr{O}_{d>4}$ are not renormalizable

- ▶ SM Lagrangian includes all $\mathscr{O}_{d \leq 4}$ invariant under $\mathsf{SU}(2)_L \times \mathsf{U}(1)_Y$

- ▶ SM cannot be considered as the final theory of everything

- ▶ SM is an effective low-energy theory

- ▶ It is likely that SM is the low-energy product of the symmetry breaking of a high-energy unified theory

- ▶ It is plausible that at low-energy there are effective non-renormalizable $\mathscr{O}_{d > 4}$ [S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1566]

- ▶ All $\mathscr{O}_d$ must respect $\mathsf{SU}(2)_L \times \mathsf{U}(1)_Y$, because they are generated by the high-energy theory which must include the gauge symmetries of the SM in order to be effectively reduced to the SM at low energies

- $\mathscr{O}_{d>4}$ is suppressed by a coefficient $\mathcal{M}^{4-d}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a heavy mass characteristic of the symmetry breaking scale of the high-energy unified theory:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{SM}} + \frac{g_5}{\mathcal{M}}\,\mathscr{O}_5 + \frac{g_6}{\mathcal{M}^2}\,\mathscr{O}_6 + \dots$$

- Analogy with $\quad \mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{CC})} \propto G_F\,(\overline{\nu_{eL}}\gamma^\rho e_L)\,(\overline{e_L}\gamma_\rho \nu_{eL}) + \dots$

$$\mathscr{O}_6 \to (\overline{\nu_{eL}}\gamma^\rho e_L)\,(\overline{e_L}\gamma_\rho \nu_{eL}) + \dots \qquad\qquad \frac{g_6}{\mathcal{M}^2} \to \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8m_W^2}$$

- $\mathcal{M}^{4-d}$ is a strong suppression factor which limits the observability of the low-energy effects of the new physics beyond the SM

- The difficulty to observe the effects of the effective low-energy non-renormalizable operators increase rapidly with their dimensionality

- $\mathscr{O}_5 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ Majorana neutrino masses (Lepton number violation)

- $\mathscr{O}_6 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ Baryon number violation (proton decay)

- Only one dim-5 operator:

$$\mathscr{O}_5 = (L_L^T \, \sigma_2 \, \Phi) \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, (\Phi^T \, \sigma_2 \, L_L) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \, (L_L^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \sigma_2 \, \vec{\sigma} \, L_L) \cdot (\Phi^T \, \sigma_2 \, \vec{\sigma} \, \Phi) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_5 = \frac{g_5}{2\mathcal{M}} \, (L_L^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \sigma_2 \, \vec{\sigma} \, L_L) \cdot (\Phi^T \, \sigma_2 \, \vec{\sigma} \, \Phi) + \text{H.c.}$$

- Electroweak Symmetry Breaking: $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{Breaking}]{\text{Symmetry}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$

- $\mathscr{L}_5 \xrightarrow[\text{Breaking}]{\text{Symmetry}} \mathscr{L}_\text{mass}^\text{M} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_5 \, v^2}{\mathcal{M}} \, \nu_L^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \nu_L + \text{H.c.} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boxed{m = \frac{g_5 \, v^2}{\mathcal{M}}}$

▶ The study of Majorana neutrino masses provides the most accessible low-energy window on new physics beyond the SM

▶ $m \propto \dfrac{v^2}{\mathcal{M}} \propto \dfrac{m_{\mathrm{D}}^2}{\mathcal{M}}$ natural explanation of smallness of neutrino masses

(special case: See-Saw Mechanism)

▶ Example: $m_{\mathrm{D}} \sim v \sim 10^2 \, \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim 10^{15} \, \mathrm{GeV} \implies m \sim 10^{-2} \, \mathrm{eV}$

Mixing of Three Majorana Neutrinos

▶ $\boldsymbol{\nu}'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{eL} \\ \nu'_{\mu L} \\ \nu'_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{M}}_{\mathsf{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}'^T_L \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L + \mathsf{H.c.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \nu'^T_{\alpha L} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L_{\alpha\beta} \, \nu'_{\beta L} + \mathsf{H.c.}$$

▶ In general, the matrix M^L is a complex symmetric matrix

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \nu'^T_{\alpha L} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L_{\alpha\beta} \, \nu'_{\beta L} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\nu'^T_{\alpha L} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L_{\alpha\beta} \, \nu'_{\beta L} \right)^T$$

$$= - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \nu'^T_{\beta L} \, M^L_{\alpha\beta} \, (\mathcal{C}^\dagger)^T \, \nu'_{\alpha L} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \nu'^T_{\beta L} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L_{\alpha\beta} \, \nu'_{\alpha L}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \nu'^T_{\alpha L} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L_{\beta\alpha} \, \nu'_{\beta L}$$

$$M^L_{\alpha\beta} = M^L_{\beta\alpha} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad M^L = M^{L\,T}$$

- $\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{mass}} = \dfrac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}'^T_L \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L + \text{H.c.}$

- $\boldsymbol{\nu}'_L = V^\nu_L \, \boldsymbol{n}_L \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{mass}} = \dfrac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}'^T_L \, (V^\nu_L)^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M^L \, V^\nu_L \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L + \text{H.c.}$

- $(V^\nu_L)^T \, M^L \, V^\nu_L = M \, , \qquad M_{kj} = m_k \, \delta_{kj} \qquad (k,j = 1,2,3)$

- Left-handed chiral fields with definite mass: $\boldsymbol{n}_L = V^{\nu\dagger}_L \, \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1L} \\ \nu_{2L} \\ \nu_{3L} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{n}^T_L \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, M \, \boldsymbol{n}_L - \overline{\boldsymbol{n}_L} \, M \, \mathcal{C} \, \boldsymbol{n}^T_L \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} m_k \left(\nu^T_{kL} \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \nu_{kL} - \overline{\nu_{kL}} \, \mathcal{C} \, \nu^T_{kL} \right)$$

- Majorana fields of massive neutrinos: $\nu_k = \nu_{kL} + \nu^{\mathrm{c}}_{kL}$ $\qquad \boxed{\nu^{\mathrm{c}}_k = \nu_k}$

- $\boldsymbol{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{M}} = \dfrac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\nu_k} \, (i \slashed{\partial} - m_k) \, \nu_k \big|_{\nu_k = \nu^{\mathrm{c}}_k}$

Mixing Matrix

▶ Leptonic Weak Charged Current:

$$j_{W,L}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\,\overline{\ell_L}\,\gamma^\rho\,U\,\mathbf{n}_L \qquad \text{with} \qquad U = V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,V_L^\nu$$

▶ As in the Dirac case, we define the left-handed flavor neutrino fields as

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_L = U\,\mathbf{n}_L = V_L^{\ell\dagger}\,\boldsymbol{\nu}_L' = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ In this way, as in the Dirac case, the Leptonic Weak Charged Current has the SM form

$$j_{W,L}^{\rho\dagger} = 2\,\overline{\ell_L}\,\gamma^\rho\,\boldsymbol{\nu}_L = 2\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau}\overline{\ell_{\alpha L}}\,\gamma^\rho\,\nu_{\alpha L}$$

▶ Important difference with respect to Dirac case:
  Two additional CP-violating phases: Majorana phases

▶ Majorana Mass Term $\mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{M}} = \dfrac{1}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{3} m_k \, \nu_{kL}^T \, \mathcal{C}^\dagger \, \nu_{kL} + \text{H.c.}$ is not invariant under the global U(1) gauge transformations

$$\nu_{kL} \to e^{i\varphi_k} \, \nu_{kL} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3)$$

▶ For eliminating some of the 6 phases of the unitary mixing matrix we can use only the global phase transformations (3 arbitrary phases)

$$\ell_\alpha \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \, \ell_\alpha \quad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$$

► Weak Charged Current: $j_{W,L}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \sum\limits_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, \nu_{kL}$

► Performing the transformation $\ell_\alpha \to e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \ell_\alpha$ we obtain

$$j_{W,L}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \sum\limits_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, e^{-i\varphi_\alpha} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, \nu_{kL}$$

$$j_{W,L}^{\rho\dagger} = 2 \underbrace{e^{-i\varphi_e}}_{1} \sum\limits_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3} \overline{\ell_{\alpha L}} \, \underbrace{e^{-i(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_e)}}_{2} \, \gamma^\rho \, U_{\alpha k} \, \nu_{kL}$$

► We can eliminate 3 phases of the mixing matrix: one overall phase and two phases which can be factorized on the left.

► In the Dirac case we could eliminate also two phases which can be factorized on the right.

- In the Majorana case there are two additional physical Majorana phases which can be factorized on the right of the mixing matrix:

$$\boxed{U = U^{\mathrm{D}} \, D^{\mathrm{M}}} \qquad D^{\mathrm{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\lambda_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\lambda_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

- U^{D} is a Dirac mixing matrix, with one Dirac phase

- Standard parameterization:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23}-c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23}-s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23}-c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23}-s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\lambda_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\lambda_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $D^{\mathsf{M}} = \mathrm{diag}\Big(e^{i\lambda_1}, e^{i\lambda_2}, e^{i\lambda_3}\Big)$, but only two Majorana phases are physical

- All measurable quantities depend only on the differences of the Majorana phases
$$\ell_\alpha \to e^{i\varphi}\ell_\alpha \implies e^{i\lambda_k} \to e^{i(\lambda_k - \varphi)}$$
$e^{i(\lambda_k - \lambda_j)}$ remains constant

- Our convention: $\lambda_1 = 0 \implies D^{\mathsf{M}} = \mathrm{diag}\Big(1, e^{i\lambda_2}, e^{i\lambda_3}\Big)$

- CP is conserved if all the elements of each column of the mixing matrix are either real or purely imaginary:
$$\delta_{13} = 0 \text{ or } \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_k = 0 \text{ or } \pi/2 \text{ or } \pi \text{ or } 3\pi/2$$

Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

- Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac-Majorana Mass Term
  - One Generation Dirac-Majorana Mass Term
  - See-Saw Mechanism
  - Three-Generation Mixing

- Sterile Neutrinos

One Generation Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

If ν_R exists, the most general mass term is the

Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D+M}} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D}} + \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{L} + \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{R}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D}} = -m_{\text{D}}\,\overline{\nu_R}\,\nu_L + \text{H.c.}$$ Standard Dirac Mass Term

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{L} = \frac{1}{2}\,m_L\,\nu_L^T\,\mathcal{C}^\dagger\,\nu_L + \text{H.c.}$$ ν_L Majorana Mass Term
Forbidden by SM Symmetries!

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{R} = \frac{1}{2}\,m_R\,\nu_R^T\,\mathcal{C}^\dagger\,\nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$ New ν_R Majorana Mass Term!
Allowed by SM Symmetries!

See-Saw Mechanism

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{D+M}} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu_L^c} & \overline{\nu_R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m^{\text{D}} \\ m^{\text{D}} & m_R^{\text{M}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu_R^c \end{pmatrix} + \text{H.c.}$$

m_R^{M} can be arbitrarily large (not protected by SM symmetries)

$m_R^{\text{M}} \sim$ scale of new physics beyond Standard Model $\Rightarrow m_R^{\text{M}} \gg m^{\text{D}}$

diagonalization of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m^{\text{D}} \\ m^{\text{D}} & m_R^{\text{M}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_\ell \simeq \frac{(m^{\text{D}})^2}{m_R^{\text{M}}}, \quad m_h \simeq m_R^{\text{M}}$

natural explanation of smallness
of light neutrino masses

massive neutrinos are Majorana!

3-GEN $\Rightarrow$ effective low-energy 3-ν mixing

see-saw mechanism

[Minkowski, PLB 67 (1977) 42]

[Yanagida (1979); Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky (1979); Mohapatra, Senjanovic, PRL 44 (1980) 912]

▶ Column matrix of left-handed chiral fields: $N_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu_R^c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \mathcal{C} \overline{\nu_R}^T \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D+M}} = \frac{1}{2} N_L^T \mathcal{C}^\dagger M N_L + \text{H.c.} \qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ The Dirac-Majorana Mass Term has the structure of a Majorana Mass Term for two chiral neutrino fields coupled by the Dirac mass

▶ Diagonalization: $n_L = U^\dagger N_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1L} \\ \nu_{2L} \end{pmatrix}$

$$U^T M U = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{Real } m_k \geq 0$$

▶ $\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D+M}} = \dfrac{1}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1,2} m_k \, \nu_{kL}^T \mathcal{C}^\dagger \nu_{kL} + \text{H.c.} = -\dfrac{1}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1,2} m_k \, \overline{\nu_k} \, \nu_k$

$$\nu_k = \nu_{kL} + \nu_{kL}^c$$

▶ Massive neutrinos are Majorana! $\qquad \nu_k = \nu_k^c$

Real Mass Matrix

▶ CP is conserved if the mass matrix is real: $M = M^*$

▶ $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix}$ we consider real and positive m_R and m_D and real m_L

▶ A real symmetric mass matrix can be diagonalized with $U = \mathcal{O}\rho$

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta & \sin\vartheta \\ -\sin\vartheta & \cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho_k^2 = \pm 1$$

▶ $\mathcal{O}^T M \mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1' & 0 \\ 0 & m_2' \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan 2\vartheta = \dfrac{2m_D}{m_R - m_L}$

$$m_{2,1}' = \frac{1}{2}\left[m_L + m_R \pm \sqrt{(m_L - m_R)^2 + 4\,m_D^2} \right]$$

▶ m_1' is negative if $m_L m_R < m_D^2$

$$U^T M U = \rho^T \mathcal{O}^T M \mathcal{O}\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^2 m_1' & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2^2 m_2' \end{pmatrix} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boxed{m_k = \rho_k^2\, m_k'}$$

- m_2' is always positive:

$$m_2 = m_2' = \frac{1}{2}\left[m_L + m_R + \sqrt{(m_L - m_R)^2 + 4\,m_D^2}\right]$$

- If $m_L m_R \geq m_D^2$, then $m_1' \geq 0$ and $\rho_1^2 = 1$

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[m_L + m_R - \sqrt{(m_L - m_R)^2 + 4\,m_D^2}\right]$$

$$\rho_1 = 1 \text{ and } \rho_2 = 1 \implies U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta & \sin\vartheta \\ -\sin\vartheta & \cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix}$$

- If $m_L m_R < m_D^2$, then $m_1' < 0$ and $\rho_1^2 = -1$

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{(m_L - m_R)^2 + 4\,m_D^2} - (m_L + m_R)\right]$$

$$\rho_1 = i \text{ and } \rho_2 = 1 \implies U = \begin{pmatrix} i\cos\vartheta & \sin\vartheta \\ -i\sin\vartheta & \cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ If Δm^2 is small, there are oscillations between active ν_a generated by ν_L and sterile ν_s generated by ν_R^c:

$$P_{\nu_a \to \nu_s}(L, E) = \sin^2 2\vartheta \, \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m^2 \, L}{4 \, E} \right)$$

$$\Delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2 = (m_L + m_R) \sqrt{(m_L - m_R)^2 + 4 \, m_D^2}$$

▶ It can be shown that the CP parity of ν_k is $\xi_k^{CP} = i \, \rho_k^2$:

$$\nu_k(x) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \xi_k^{CP} \gamma^0 \overline{\nu_k}^T(x_P) \qquad \xi_1^{CP} = i \, \rho_1^2 \qquad \xi_2^{CP} = i$$

▶ Special cases:

  ▶ $m_L = m_R \implies$ Maximal Mixing

  ▶ $m_L = m_R = 0 \implies$ Dirac Limit

  ▶ $|m_L|, m_R \ll m_D \implies$ Pseudo-Dirac Neutrinos

  ▶ $m_L = 0 \quad m_D \ll m_R \implies$ See-Saw Mechanism

Maximal Mixing

$$\boxed{m_L = m_R}$$

$$\tan 2\vartheta = \frac{2m_D}{m_R - m_L} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vartheta = \pi/4$$

$$m'_{2,1} = m_L \pm m_D$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1^2 = +1\,, & m_1 = m_L - m_D \quad \text{if} \quad m_L \geq m_D \\ \rho_1^2 = -1\,, & m_1 = m_D - m_L \quad \text{if} \quad m_L < m_D \end{cases}$$

$$m_2 = m_L + m_D$$

$$\underline{m_L < m_D}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nu_{1L} = \dfrac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\nu_L - \nu_R^c\right) \\[2mm] \nu_{2L} = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\nu_L + \nu_R^c\right) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nu_1 = \nu_{1L} + \nu_{1L}^c = \dfrac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}\left[(\nu_L + \nu_R) - (\nu_L^c + \nu_R^c)\right] \\[3mm] \nu_2 = \nu_{2L} + \nu_{2L}^c = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[(\nu_L + \nu_R) + (\nu_L^c + \nu_R^c)\right] \end{cases}$$

Dirac Limit

$$\boxed{m_L = m_R = 0}$$

▶ $m'_{2,1} = \pm m_D \implies \begin{cases} \rho_1^2 = -1 & m_1 = m_D & \xi_1^{\mathsf{CP}} = -i \\ \rho_2^2 = +1 & m_2 = m_D & \xi_2^{\mathsf{CP}} = i \end{cases}$

▶ The two Majorana fields ν_1 and ν_2 can be combined to give one Dirac field:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(i\nu_1 + \nu_2 \right) = \nu_L + \nu_R$$

▶ A Dirac field ν can always be split in two Majorana fields:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\nu - \nu^c) + (\nu + \nu^c) \right]$$

$$= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-i \frac{\nu - \nu^c}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\nu + \nu^c}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(i\nu_1 + \nu_2 \right)$$

▶ A Dirac field is equivalent to two Majorana fields with the same mass and opposite CP parities

---

Pseudo-Dirac Neutrinos

$$\boxed{|m_L|, \, m_R \ll m_{\rm D}}$$

▶ $m'_{2,1} \simeq \dfrac{m_L + m_R}{2} \pm m_{\rm D}$

▶ $m'_1 < 0 \implies \rho_1^2 = -1 \implies m_{2,1} \simeq m_{\rm D} \pm \dfrac{m_L + m_R}{2}$

▶ The two massive Majorana neutrinos are almost degenerate in mass and have opposite CP parities ($\xi_1^{\rm CP} = -i, \quad \xi_2^{\rm CP} = i$)

▶ The best way to reveal pseudo-Dirac neutrinos are active-sterile neutrino oscillations due to the small squared-mass difference

$$\Delta m^2 \simeq m_{\rm D} \left(m_L + m_R \right)$$

▶ The oscillations occur with practically maximal mixing:

$$\tan 2\vartheta = \frac{2m_{\rm D}}{m_R - m_L} \gg 1 \implies \vartheta \simeq \pi/4$$

See-Saw Mechanism

$$m_L = 0 \qquad m_D \ll m_R$$

▸ $\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^L$ is forbidden by SM symmetries $\implies m_L = 0$

▸ $m_D \lesssim v \sim 100\,\text{GeV}$ is generated by SM Higgs Mechanism
(protected by SM symmetries)

▸ m_R is not protected by SM symmetries $\implies m_R \sim \mathcal{M}_{\text{GUT}} \gg v$

▸ $\left. \begin{array}{l} m_1' \simeq -\dfrac{m_D^2}{m_R} \\[2mm] m_2' \simeq m_R \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho_1^2 = -1\,, & m_1 \simeq \dfrac{m_D^2}{m_R} \\[2mm] \rho_2^2 = +1\,, & m_2 \simeq m_R \end{array} \right.$

▸ Natural explanation of smallness of neutrino masses

▸ Mixing angle is very small: $\tan 2\vartheta = 2\,\dfrac{m_D}{m_R} \ll 1$

▸ ν_1 is composed mainly of active ν_L: $\nu_{1L} \simeq -i\,\nu_L$

▸ ν_2 is composed mainly of sterile ν_R: $\nu_{2L} \simeq \nu_R^c$

Connection with Effective Lagrangian Approach

▶ Dirac–Majorana neutrino mass term with $m_L = 0$:

$$\mathscr{L}^{\text{D+M}} = -m_{\text{D}} \left(\overline{\nu_R}\, \nu_L + \overline{\nu_L}\, \nu_R \right) + \frac{1}{2}\, m_R \left(\nu_R^T\, \mathcal{C}^\dagger\, \nu_R + \nu_R^\dagger\, \mathcal{C}\, \nu_R^* \right)$$

▶ Above the electroweak symmetry-breaking scale:

$$\mathscr{L}^{\text{D+M}} = -y^\nu \left(\overline{\nu_R}\, \widetilde{\Phi}^\dagger\, L_L + \overline{L_L}\, \widetilde{\Phi}\, \nu_R \right) + \frac{1}{2}\, m_R \left(\nu_R^T\, \mathcal{C}^\dagger\, \nu_R + \nu_R^\dagger\, \mathcal{C}\, \nu_R^* \right)$$

▶ If $m_R \gg v \Longrightarrow \nu_R$ is static $\Longrightarrow$ kinetic term in equation of motion can be neglected:

$$0 \simeq \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}^{\text{D+M}}}{\partial \nu_R} = m_R\, \nu_R^T\, \mathcal{C}^\dagger - y^\nu\, \overline{L_L}\, \widetilde{\Phi}$$

$$\nu_R \simeq -\frac{y^\nu}{m_R}\, \widetilde{\Phi}^T\, \mathcal{C}\, \overline{L_L}^T$$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\text{D+M}} \to \mathscr{L}_5^{\text{D+M}} \simeq -\frac{1}{2}\, \frac{(y^\nu)^2}{m_R} (L_L^T\, \sigma_2\, \Phi)\, \mathcal{C}^\dagger\, (\Phi^T\, \sigma_2\, L_L) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_5 = \frac{g}{\mathcal{M}} \left(L_L^T \, \sigma_2 \, \Phi \right) \mathcal{C}^\dagger \left(\Phi^T \, \sigma_2 \, L_L \right) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_5^{\text{D+M}} \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y^\nu)^2}{m_R} \left(L_L^T \, \sigma_2 \, \Phi \right) \mathcal{C}^\dagger \left(\Phi^T \, \sigma_2 \, L_L \right) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$g = -\frac{(y^\nu)^2}{2} \qquad\qquad \mathcal{M} = m_R$$

▶ See-saw mechanism is a particular case of the effective Lagrangian approach.

▶ See-saw mechanism is obtained when dimension-five operator is generated only by the presence of ν_R with $m_R \sim \mathcal{M}$.

▶ In general, other terms can contribute to $\mathscr{L}_5$.

Generalized Seesaw

▶ General effective Dirac-Majorana mass matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ m_L generated by dim-5 operator:

$$m_L \ll m_D \ll m_R$$

▶ Eigenvalues:

$$\begin{vmatrix} m_L - \mu & m_D \\ m_D & m_R - \mu \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mu^2 - (\cancel{m_L} + m_R)\,\mu + m_L m_R - m_D^2 = 0$$

$$\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[m_R \pm \sqrt{m_R^2 - 4\left(m_L m_R - m_D^2\right)}\,\right]$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\left[m_R \pm \sqrt{m_R^2 - 4\left(m_L m_R - m_D^2\right)}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left[m_R \pm m_R\left(1 - 4\frac{m_L m_R - m_D^2}{m_R^2}\right)^{1/2}\right]$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{2}\left[m_R \pm m_R\left(1 - 2\frac{m_L m_R - m_D^2}{m_R^2}\right)\right]$$

$$+ \quad \rightarrow \quad m_{\text{heavy}} \simeq m_R$$

$$- \quad \rightarrow \quad m_{\text{light}} \simeq \left|m_L - \frac{m_D^2}{m_R}\right|$$

Type I seesaw: $\quad m_L \ll \dfrac{m_D^2}{m_R} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad m_{\text{light}} \simeq \dfrac{m_D^2}{m_R}$

Type II seesaw: $\quad m_L \gg \dfrac{m_D^2}{m_R} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad m_{\text{light}} \simeq m_L$

Majorana Neutrino Mass?

known natural explanation of smallness of ν masses

New High Energy Scale $\mathcal{M}$ $\Rightarrow$ $\begin{cases} \text{See-Saw Mechanism (if } \nu_R\text{'s exist)} \\ \text{5-D Non-Renormaliz. Eff. Operator} \end{cases}$

both imply $\begin{cases} \text{Majorana } \nu \text{ masses} \Longleftrightarrow |\Delta L| = 2 \Longleftrightarrow \beta\beta_{0\nu} \text{ decay} \\ \text{see-saw type relation } m_\nu \sim \dfrac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{EW}}^2}{\mathcal{M}} \end{cases}$

Majorana neutrino masses provide the most accessible
window on New Physics Beyond the Standard Model

Right-Handed Neutrino Mass Term

Majorana mass term for ν_R respects the $\text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_Y$ Standard Model Symmetry!

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{\text{M}} = -\frac{1}{2}\, m \left(\overline{\nu_R^c}\, \nu_R + \overline{\nu_R}\, \nu_R^c \right)$$

Majorana mass term for ν_R breaks Lepton number conservation!

Three possibilities:

- ▶ Lepton number can be explicitly broken
- ▶ Lepton number is spontaneously broken locally, with a massive vector boson coupled to the lepton number current
- ▶ Lepton number is spontaneously broken globally and a massless Goldstone boson appears in the theory (Majoron)

Singlet Majoron Model

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = -y_d \left(\overline{L_L} \, \Phi \, \nu_R + \overline{\nu_R} \, \Phi^\dagger \, L_L \right) \quad \xrightarrow[\langle\Phi\rangle\neq 0]{} \quad -m_D \left(\overline{\nu_L} \, \nu_R + \overline{\nu_R} \, \nu_L \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta = -y_s \left(\eta \, \overline{\nu_R^c} \, \nu_R + \eta^\dagger \, \overline{\nu_R} \, \nu_R^c \right) \quad \xrightarrow[\langle\eta\rangle\neq 0]{} \quad -\tfrac{1}{2} \, m_R \left(\overline{\nu_R^c} \, \nu_R + \overline{\nu_R} \, \nu_R^c \right)$$

$$\eta = 2^{-1/2} \left(\langle\eta\rangle + \rho + i\,\chi \right) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\nu_L^c} \;\; \overline{\nu_R} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu_R^c \end{pmatrix} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\underset{\text{scale of } L \text{ violation}}{m_R} \quad \gg \quad \underset{\text{EW scale}}{m_D} \quad \Longrightarrow \text{See-Saw:} \quad \boxed{m_1 \simeq \frac{m_D^2}{m_R}}$$

ρ = massive scalar, χ = Majoron (massless pseudoscalar Goldstone boson)

The Majoron is weakly coupled to the light neutrino

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi-\nu} = \frac{iy_s}{\sqrt{2}} \, \chi \left[\overline{\nu_2} \gamma^5 \nu_2 - \frac{m_D}{m_R} \left[\overline{\nu_2} \gamma^5 \nu_1 + \overline{\nu_1} \gamma^5 \nu_2 \right] + \left(\frac{m_D}{m_R} \right)^2 \overline{\nu_1} \gamma^5 \nu_1 \right]$$

Three-Generation Mixing

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D+M}} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D}} + \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{L}} + \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{R}}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D}} = -\sum_{s=1}^{N_S} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\nu'_{sR}} \, M_{s\alpha}^{\text{D}} \, \nu'_{\alpha L} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{L}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \nu_{\alpha L}'^{T} \, \mathcal{C}^{\dagger} \, M_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{L}} \, \nu'_{\beta L} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{R}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,s'=1}^{N_S} \nu_{sR}'^{T} \, \mathcal{C}^{\dagger} \, M_{ss'}^{\text{R}} \, \nu'_{s'R} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\mathbf{N}'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_R'^{C} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nu'_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{eL} \\ \nu'_{\mu L} \\ \nu'_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nu_R'^{C} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1R}'^{C} \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{N_S R}'^{C} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{D+M}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{N}_L'^{T} \, \mathcal{C}^{\dagger} \, M^{\text{D+M}} \, \mathbf{N}'_L + \text{H.c.} \qquad M^{\text{D+M}} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{\text{L}} & M^{\text{D}\,T} \\ M^{\text{D}} & M^{\text{R}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Diagonalization of the Dirac-Majorana Mass Term $\implies$ massive Majorana neutrinos

- See-Saw Mechanism $\implies$ right-handed neutrinos have large Majorana masses and are decoupled from the low-energy phenomenology.

- If all right-handed neutrinos have large Majorana masses, at low energy we have an effective mixing of three Majorana neutrinos.

- It is possible that not all right-handed neutrinos have large Majorana masses: some right-handed neutrinos may correspond to low-energy Majorana particles which belong to new physics beyond the Standard Model.

- Light anti-ν_R are called sterile neutrinos

$$\nu_R^c \rightarrow \nu_{sL} \qquad \text{(left-handed)}$$

Sterile Neutrinos

- Dirac Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Majorana Neutrino Masses and Mixing

- Dirac-Majorana Mass Term

- Sterile Neutrinos
  - Number of Flavor and Massive Neutrinos?
  - Sterile Neutrinos

Number of Flavor and Massive Neutrinos?

[LEP, Phys. Rept. 427 (2006) 257, arXiv:hep-ex/0509008]

$$\Gamma_Z = \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \Gamma_{Z \to \ell\bar{\ell}} + \sum_{q \neq t} \Gamma_{Z \to q\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{\text{inv}} \qquad \Gamma_{\text{inv}} = N_\nu \, \Gamma_{Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}}$$

$$\boxed{N_\nu = 2.9840 \pm 0.0082}$$

$$e^+ e^- \to Z \xrightarrow{\text{invisible}} \sum_{a=\text{active}} \nu_a \bar{\nu}_a \implies \nu_e \ \nu_\mu \ \nu_\tau$$

3 light active flavor neutrinos

mixing $\Rightarrow$ $\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} U_{\alpha k} \nu_{kL}$ $\qquad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ $\qquad$ $N \geq 3$
no upper limit!

Mass Basis: $\quad \nu_1 \quad \nu_2 \quad \nu_3 \quad \nu_4 \quad \nu_5 \quad \cdots$

Flavor Basis: $\quad \nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \nu_\tau \quad \nu_{s_1} \quad \nu_{s_2} \quad \cdots$

$\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ACTIVE $\qquad$ STERILE

$$\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} U_{\alpha k} \nu_{kL} \qquad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau, s_1, s_2, \ldots$$

Sterile Neutrinos

▶ Sterile means no standard model interactions

[Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP 26 (1968) 984]

▶ Obviously no electromagnetic interactions as normal active neutrinos

▶ Thus sterile means no standard weak interactions

▶ But sterile neutrinos are not absolutely sterile:

  ▶ Gravitational Interactions

  ▶ New non-standard interactions of the physics beyond the Standard Model which generates the masses of sterile neutrinos

▶ Active neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) can oscillate into sterile neutrinos (ν_s)

▶ Observables:
  ▶ Disappearance of active neutrinos
  ▶ Indirect evidence through combined fit of data

▶ Powerful window on new physics beyond the Standard Model

No GIM with Sterile Neutrinos

[Lee, Shrock, PRD 16 (1977) 1444; Schechter, Valle PRD 22 (1980) 2227]

▶ Neutrino Neutral-Current Weak Interaction Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L}_I^{(NC)} = -\frac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_W} Z_\rho \overline{\boldsymbol{\nu}'_L} \gamma^\rho \boldsymbol{\nu}'_L$$

▶ The transformation to active flavor neutrino fields is independent of the existence of sterile neutrinos: $\boldsymbol{\nu}'_L = V_L^\ell \boldsymbol{\nu}_L$

$$\mathscr{L}_I^{(NC)} = -\frac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_W} Z_\rho \overline{\boldsymbol{\nu}_L} \gamma^\rho \boldsymbol{\nu}_L = -\frac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_W} Z_\rho \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\nu_{\alpha L}} \gamma^\rho \nu_{\alpha L}$$

▶ Mixing with sterile neutrinos: $\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} U_{\alpha k} \nu_{kL}$

▶ No GIM: $\mathscr{L}_I^{(NC)} = -\dfrac{g}{2\cos\vartheta_W} Z_\rho \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} \overline{\nu_{jL}} \gamma^\rho \nu_{kL} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\alpha k}$

▶ $\displaystyle\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau,s_1,\ldots} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\alpha k} = \delta_{jk}$ but $\displaystyle\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\alpha k} \neq \delta_{jk}$

Effect on Invisible Width of Z Boson?

▶ Amplitude of $Z \to \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k$ decay:

$$A(Z \to \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k) = \langle \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k | - \int d^4x \, \mathscr{L}_1^{(\mathrm{NC})}(x) | Z \rangle$$

$$= \frac{g}{2 \cos \vartheta_\mathrm{W}} \langle \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k | \int d^4x \, \overline{\nu_{jL}}(x) \gamma^\rho \nu_{kL}(x) Z_\rho(x) | Z \rangle \sum_{\alpha = e, \mu, \tau} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\alpha k}$$

▶ If $m_k \ll m_Z/2$ for all k's, the neutrino masses are negligible in all the matrix elements and we can approximate

$$\frac{g}{2 \cos \vartheta_\mathrm{W}} \langle \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k | \int d^4x \, \overline{\nu_{jL}}(x) \, \gamma^\rho \, \nu_{kL}(x) \, Z_\rho(x) | Z \rangle \simeq A_\mathsf{SM}(Z \to \nu_\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$$

▶ $A_\mathsf{SM}(Z \to \nu_\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ is the Standard Model amplitude of Z decay into a massless neutrino-antineutrino pair of any flavor $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$

▶ $A(Z \to \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k) \simeq A_\mathsf{SM}(Z \to \nu_\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell) \sum_{\alpha = e, \mu, \tau} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\alpha k}$

▶ $P(Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}) = \sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} |A(Z \to \nu_j \bar{\nu}_k)|^2$

- $P(Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}) \simeq P_{\text{SM}}(Z \to \nu_\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell) \sum\limits_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3+N_s} \left| \sum\limits_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* \, U_{\alpha k} \right|^2$

- Effective number of neutrinos in Z decay:

$$N_\nu^{(Z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} \left| \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* \, U_{\alpha k} \right|^2$$

- Using the unitarity relation $\quad \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3+N_s} U_{\alpha k} \, U_{\beta k}^* = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_\nu^{(Z)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* \, U_{\alpha k} \sum_{\beta=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\beta j} \, U_{\beta k}^* \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} U_{\alpha j}^* \, U_{\beta j}}_{\delta_{\alpha\beta}} \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} U_{\alpha k} \, U_{\beta k}^*}_{\delta_{\alpha\beta}} = \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} 1 = 3
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\boxed{N_\nu^{(Z)} = 3}$ independently of the number of light sterile neutrinos!

Effect of Heavy Sterile Neutrinos

[Jarlskog, PLB 241 (1990) 579; Bilenky, Grimus, Neufeld, PLB 252 (1990) 119]

► $N_\nu^{(Z)} = \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{3+N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{3+N_s} \left| \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} U_{\alpha j}^* \, U_{\alpha k} \right|^2 R_{jk}$ with

$$R_{jk} = \left(1 - \frac{m_j^2 + m_k^2}{2m_Z^2} - \frac{(m_j^2 - m_k^2)^2}{2m_Z^4} \right) \frac{\lambda(m_Z^2, m_j^2, m_k^2)}{m_Z^2} \theta(m_Z - m_j - m_k)$$

$$\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2zx$$

► $R_{jk} \leq 1 \quad \implies \quad \boxed{N_\nu^{(Z)} \leq 3}$