Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos Part III: Phenomenology Carlo Giunti

INFN, Sezione di Torino and Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino giunti@to.infn.it Neutrino Unbound: http://www.nu.to.infn.it

Cours d'Hiver 2017 du LAL – 3-5 January 2017

http://personalpages.to.infn.it/~giunti/slides/2017/giunti-170105-LAL.pdf



C. Giunti and C.W. Kim Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics Oxford University Press 15 March 2007 – 728 pages

Part III: Phenomenology

- Three-Neutrino Mixing Paradigm
- Absolute Scale of Neutrino Masses
- Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay
- Light Sterile Neutrinos
- Cosmology
- Conclusions

Three-Neutrino Mixing Paradigm

Standard Parameterization of Mixing Matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\lambda_{21}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\lambda_{31}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23}-c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23}-s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23}-c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23}-s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\lambda_{21}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\lambda_{31}} \end{pmatrix}$

 $c_{ab} \equiv \cos \vartheta_{ab}$ $s_{ab} \equiv \sin \vartheta_{ab}$ $0 \le \vartheta_{ab} \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ $0 \le \delta_{13}, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{31} < 2\pi$

OSCILLATION PARAMETERS $\begin{cases} 3 \text{ Mixing Angles: } \vartheta_{12}, \, \vartheta_{23}, \, \vartheta_{13} \\ 1 \text{ CPV Dirac Phase: } \delta_{13} \\ 2 \text{ independent } \Delta m_{ki}^2 \equiv m_k^2 - m_j^2 \text{: } \Delta m_{21}^2, \, \Delta m_{31}^2 \end{cases}$

2 CPV Majorana Phases: $\lambda_{21}, \lambda_{31} \iff |\Delta L| = 2$ processes

Experimental Evidences of Neutrino Oscillations



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{LBL Accelerator} \\ \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \end{array} (\text{T2K, MINOS, NO}\nu\text{A}) \\ \text{LBL Reactor} \\ \bar{\nu}_{e} \text{ disappearance} \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Daya Bay, RENO} \\ \text{Double Chooz} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \Delta m_{\text{A}}^{2} = |\Delta m_{31}^{2}| \\ \sin^{2} \vartheta_{13} \simeq 0.023 \end{cases}$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 4/108





Three-Neutrino Mixing Around 2015

$$\Delta m_{\rm S}^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 7.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5} \,{\rm eV}^2 \quad \text{uncertainty} \simeq 3\%$$
$$\Delta m_{\rm A}^2 = |\Delta m_{31}^2| \simeq |\Delta m_{32}^2| \simeq 2.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-3} \,{\rm eV}^2 \quad \text{uncertainty} \simeq 4\%$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\lambda_{21}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\lambda_{31}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\vartheta_{23} = \vartheta_{A}}{\vartheta_{23} \approx 0.4 - 0.6} \quad \text{Double Chooz} \quad \sin^{2}\vartheta_{12} \approx 0.30 \pm 0.01$$
$$P_{\text{osc}} \propto \sin^{2}2\vartheta_{23} \quad \text{T2K, MINOS}$$
$$\text{maximal and flat} \quad \sin^{2}\vartheta_{13} \simeq 0.023 \pm 0.002$$
$$\text{at } \vartheta_{23} = 45^{\circ} \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta \sin^{2}\vartheta_{13}}{\sin^{2}\vartheta_{13}} \approx 10\% \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta \sin^{2}\vartheta_{12}}{\sin^{2}\vartheta_{12}} \approx 5\%$$

Open Problems

- ► $\vartheta_{23} \stackrel{<}{_{>}} 45^{\circ}$?
 - ► T2K (Japan), NO*ν*A (USA), ...
- CP violation ? $\delta_{13} \approx 3\pi/2$?
 - ► T2K (Japan), NOvA (USA), DUNE (USA), HyperK (Japan), ...
- Mass Ordering ?
 - JUNO (China), RENO-50 (Korea), PINGU (Antarctica), ORCA (EU), INO (India), ...
- Absolute Mass Scale ?
 - $\blacktriangleright\ \beta$ Decay, Neutrinoless Double- β Decay, Cosmology, \ldots
- Dirac or Majorana ?
 - Neutrinoless Double- β Decay, . . .
- Beyond Three-Neutrino Mixing ? Sterile Neutrinos ?

Determination of Mass Ordering

- 1. Matter Effects: Atmospheric (PINGU, ORCA), Long-Baseline, Supernova Experiments
 - $\nu_e \simeq \nu_\mu$ MSW resonance: $V = \frac{\Delta m_{13}^2 \cos 2\vartheta_{13}}{\frac{2E}{2E}} \Leftrightarrow \Delta m_{13}^2 > 0$ NO • $\bar{\nu}_e \simeq \bar{\nu}_\mu$ MSW resonance: $V = -\frac{\Delta m_{13}^2 \cos 2\vartheta_{13}}{2E} \Leftrightarrow \Delta m_{13}^2 < 0$ IO
- 2. Phase Difference: Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ (JUNO, RENO-50)





[Petcov, Piai, PLB 533 (2002) 94; Choubey, Petcov, Piai, PRD 68 (2003) 113006; Learned, Dye, Pakvasa, Svoboda, PRD 78 (2008) 071302; Zhan, Wang, Cao, Wen, PRD 78 (2008) 111103, PRD 79 (2009) 073007]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 10/108

CP Violation?

- In this approximation there is no observable CP-violation effect!
- CP-violation can be observed only with sensitivity to Δm_{21}^2 : in vacuum

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta}^{\mathsf{CP}} &= P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} - P_{\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \to \bar{\nu}_{\beta}} \\ &= -16 J_{\alpha\beta} \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{4E}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{4E}\right) \\ J_{\alpha\beta} &= \mathsf{Im}(U_{\alpha 1} U_{\alpha 2}^* U_{\beta 1}^* U_{\beta 2}) = \pm J \\ J &= s_{12} c_{12} s_{23} c_{23} s_{13} c_{13}^2 \sin \delta_{13} \end{aligned}$$

- Necessary conditions for observation of CP violation:
 - Sensitivity to all mixing angles, including small ϑ_{13}
 - Sensitivity to oscillations due to Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{31}^2

LBL Oscillation Probabilities

 $\Delta = \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{\Delta F} \qquad \alpha = \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{21}^2} \qquad A = \frac{2EV}{\Delta m_{21}^2} \qquad V = \sqrt{2}G_F N_e$ $\sin \theta_{13} \ll 1$ $\alpha \ll 1$ $P_{\nu_{1} \rightarrow \nu_{2}}^{\text{LBL}} \simeq 1 - \sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{13} \sin^{2} \Delta - \alpha^{2} \Delta^{2} \sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{12}$ $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}}^{\text{LBL}} \simeq \sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{13} \sin^{2} \vartheta_{23} \frac{\sin^{2}[(1-A)\Delta]}{(1-A)^{2}}$ $+\alpha \sin 2\vartheta_{13} \sin 2\vartheta_{12} \sin 2\vartheta_{23} \cos(\Delta + \delta_{13}) \frac{\sin(A\Delta)}{\Delta} \frac{\sin[(1-A)\Delta]}{1-\Delta}$ $+\alpha^2 \sin^2 2\vartheta_{12} \cos^2 \vartheta_{23} \frac{\sin^2(A\Delta)}{4^2}$ NO: $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ IO: $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$ for antineutrinos: $\delta_{13} \rightarrow -\delta_{13}$ (CPV) and $A \rightarrow -A$ (Fake CPV!) [see: Mezzetto, Schwetz, JPG 37 (2010) 103001]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 12/108

T2K

[PRL 107 (2011) 041801, arXiv:1106.2822]

ND at 280 m FD at 295 km 2.5° off-axis \Rightarrow NBB with $\langle E \rangle \simeq 0.6 \text{ GeV} \simeq |\Delta m_{31}^2|L/2\pi$





$$\sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{13} = \begin{cases} 0.11^{+0.17}_{-0.08} & \text{(NO)} \\ 0.14^{+0.20}_{-0.10} & \text{(IO)} \end{cases}$$
90% C.L. $\delta_{13} = 0$

Assumptions

$$\begin{split} \Delta m_{21}^2 &= 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{eV} \,, \, \sin^2 2\vartheta_{12} = 0.87 \\ |\Delta m_{31}^2| &= 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{eV} \,, \, \sin^2 2\vartheta_{23} = 1 \end{split}$$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 13/108

MINOS



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 14/108

Large CP Violation?



T2K, PRD 91 (2015) 072010, arXiv:1502.01550

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 15/108

T2K $\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e$



- Oscillation and systematic parameters are shared between the 4 samples
- Fit simultaneously the 4 samples to maximize the sensitivity to the oscillation parameters

[T2K @ NOW2016, September 2016]

136.0

24.1

64.4

6.8

135

32

66

4

135.8

28.7

64.2

6

135.5

24.2

64.1

6.9

135.7

19.6

64.2

7.7

µ-like

e-like

µ-like

e-like

neutrino

neutrino

anti-neutrino

anti-neutrino

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 16/108



 $\vec{\bullet}$ T2K results consistent with reactor results $\vec{\bullet}$ Maximal CPV: data prefer δ_{CP} =-π/2 ($\overline{\nu}_e$ data confirm the tendency observed for ν_e data) $\vec{\bullet}$ Favors the scenario of a small θ_{13} and large CPV

24

[T2K @ NOW2016, September 2016]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 17/108

Constraints on the atmospheric parameters: θ_{23} and Δm^{2}_{31}





 World-leading measurement of sin² θ₂₃
 Results continue to be consistent with maximal mixing/oscillation
 No significant differences between v and v

	NH	IH
sin²θ ₂₃	$0.532^{+0.046}_{-0.068}$	$0.534\substack{+0.043\\-0.007}$
lΔm² ₃₂ l (×10 ⁻⁵ eV²/c⁴)	$254.5_{-8.4}^{+8.1}$	$251.0^{+8.1}_{-8.3}$

[T2K @ NOW2016, September 2016]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 18/108

ΝΟν**Α**



[NOvA @ Neutrino2016, July 2016]



Fit for Δm^2 and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$

- Dominant systematic effects included in fit:
 - Normalization
 - NC background
 - Flux
 - Muon and hadronic energy scales
 - Cross section
 - Detector response and noise

Best Fit (in NH): $\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta m_{32}^2 \right| &= 2.67 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \\ \sin^2 \theta_{23} &= 0.40^{+0.03}_{-0.02} (0.63^{+0.02}_{-0.03}) \end{aligned}$

Maximal mixing excluded at 2.5σ

[NOvA @ Neutrino2016, July 2016]

September 2016 Global Fit



[Capozzi, Lisi, Marrone, Montanino, Palazzo @ NOW2016, September 2016]

[See also: Esteban, Gonzalez-Garcia, Maltoni, Martinez-Soler, Schwetz, arXiv:1611.01514]

Absolute Scale of Neutrino Masses

- Three-Neutrino Mixing Paradigm
- Absolute Scale of Neutrino Masses
 Tritium Beta-Decay
- Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay
- Light Sterile Neutrinos
- Cosmology
- Conclusions

Mass Hierarchy or Degeneracy?



Tritium Beta-Decay



Neutrino Mixing
$$\implies \mathcal{K}(T) = \left[(Q - T) \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 \sqrt{(Q - T)^2 - m_k^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

analysis of data is
different from the
no-mixing case:
 $2N - 1$ parameters
 $\left(\sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 = 1 \right)$
if experiment is not sensitive to masses $(m_k \ll Q - T)$
effective mass:
 $m_\beta^2 = \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 m_k^2$
 $\mathcal{K}^2 = (Q - T)^2 \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_k^2}{(Q - T)^2}} \simeq (Q - T)^2 \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_k^2}{(Q - T)^2} \right]$
 $= (Q - T)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_\beta^2}{(Q - T)^2} \right] \simeq (Q - T) \sqrt{(Q - T)^2 - m_\beta^2}$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 25/108

Predictions of 3ν **-Mixing Paradigm**

 $m_{\beta}^2 = |U_{e1}|^2 m_1^2 + |U_{e2}|^2 m_2^2 + |U_{e3}|^2 m_3^2$



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 26/108

Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay



Two-Neutrino Double- β Decay: $\Delta L = 0$

 $\mathcal{N}(A, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A, Z+2) + e^- + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \bar{\nu}_e$

 $(T_{1/2}^{2\nu})^{-1} = G_{2\nu} |\mathcal{M}_{2\nu}|^2$

second order weak interaction process in the Standard Model

Neutrinoless Double- β Decay: $\Delta L = 2$

$$\mathcal{N}(A,Z)
ightarrow \mathcal{N}(A,Z+2) + e^- + e^-$$

$$(T_{1/2}^{0
u})^{-1} = \mathit{G}_{0
u} \, |\mathcal{M}_{0
u}|^2 \, |m_{\beta\beta}|^2$$

effective Majorana $|m_{\beta\beta}| = \left| \sum_{k} U_{ek}^2 m_k \right|$ mass





C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 28/108



Effective Majorana Neutrino Mass





2015 90% C.L. Experimental Bounds

$etaeta^-$ decay	experiment	$T_{1/2}^{0 u}$ [y]	m_{etaeta} [eV]
$^{48}_{20}\mathrm{Ca} ightarrow ^{48}_{22}\mathrm{Ti}$	ELEGANT-VI	$> 1.4 imes 10^{22}$	< 6.6 - 31
$^{76}_{32}\mathrm{Ge} \rightarrow ^{76}_{34}\mathrm{Se}$	Heidelberg-Moscow	$> 1.9 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.23 - 0.67
	IGEX	$> 1.6 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.25 - 0.73
	GERDA	$>2.1 imes10^{25}$	< 0.22 - 0.64
$\frac{^{82}}{^{34}}\text{Se} \rightarrow \frac{^{82}}{^{36}}\text{Kr}$	NEMO-3	$> 1.0 imes 10^{23}$	< 1.8 - 4.7
$^{100}_{42}\mathrm{Mo} ightarrow ^{100}_{44}\mathrm{Ru}$	NEMO-3	$> 2.1 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.32 - 0.88
$^{116}_{48}\mathrm{Cd} \rightarrow ^{116}_{50}\mathrm{Sn}$	Solotvina	$> 1.7 imes 10^{23}$	< 1.5 - 2.5
$^{128}_{52}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{128}_{54}\text{Xe}$	CUORICINO	$> 1.1 imes 10^{23}$	< 7.2 - 18
$^{130}_{52}\mathrm{Te} ightarrow ^{130}_{54}\mathrm{Xe}$	CUORICINO	$> 2.8 imes 10^{24}$	< 0.32 - 1.2
$^{136}_{54}{\rm Xe} \to {}^{136}_{56}{\rm Ba}$	EXO	$> 1.1 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.2 - 0.69
	KamLAND-Zen	$> 1.9 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.15 - 0.52
$^{150}_{60}\mathrm{Nd} ightarrow ^{150}_{62}\mathrm{Sm}$	NEMO-3	$> 2.1 imes 10^{25}$	< 2.6 - 10



[Bilenky, Giunti, IJMPA 30 (2015) 0001]

Predictions of 3ν **-Mixing Paradigm**

 $m_{\beta\beta} = |U_{e1}|^2 m_1 + |U_{e2}|^2 e^{i\alpha_2} m_2 + |U_{e3}|^2 e^{i\alpha_3} m_3$



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 34/108

$$m_{\beta\beta} = |U_{e1}|^2 m_1 + |U_{e2}|^2 e^{i\alpha_2} m_2 + |U_{e3}|^2 e^{i\alpha_3} m_3$$




$\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ Decay \Leftrightarrow Majorana Neutrino Mass

 $|m_{\beta\beta}|$ can vanish because of unfortunate cancellations among m_1 , m_2 , m_3 contributions or because neutrinos are Dirac

 $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ decay can be generated by another mechanism beyond SM



- In any case finding ββ_{0ν} decay is important information to solve the Dirac-Majorana question in favor of Majorana
- On the other hand, it is not possible to prove experimentally that neutrinos are Dirac.

A Dirac neutrino is equivalent to 2 Majorana neutrinos with the same mass.

Impossible to prove experimentally that mass splitting is exactly zero.

Light Sterile Neutrinos

Indications of SBL Oscillations Beyond 3ν

LSND

[PRL 75 (1995) 2650; PRC 54 (1996) 2685; PRL 77 (1996) 3082; PRD 64 (2001) 112007]

 $ar{
u}_{\mu}
ightarrow ar{
u}_{m{e}}$ 20 MeV $\leq E \leq$ 60 MeV



► Well-known source of $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ μ^+ at rest $\rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ $L \simeq 30 \text{ m}$ $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$

Well-known detection process of $\bar{\nu}_e$

 \blacktriangleright \approx 3.8 σ excess

 But signal not seen by KARMEN at L ~ 18 m with the same method [PRD 65 (2002) 112001]



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 42/108

MiniBooNE

 $L \simeq 541 \,\mathrm{m}$ 200 MeV $\leq E \lesssim 3 \,\mathrm{GeV}$



- Purpose: check LSND signal.
- ▶ Different *L* and *E*.
- ► Similar *L*/*E* (oscillations).
- No money, no Near Detector.

- LSND signal: E > 475 MeV.
- Agreement with LSND signal?
- CP violation?
- Low-energy anomaly!

Gallium Anomaly

Gallium Radioactive Source Experiments: GALLEX and SAGE $e^- + {}^{37}\text{Ar} \rightarrow {}^{37}\text{Cl} + \nu_e$ $e^- + {}^{51}Cr \rightarrow {}^{51}V + \nu_e$ ν_e Sources: $E \simeq 0.81 \, \text{MeV}$ $E \simeq 0.75 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge} + e^{-}$ Test of Solar ν_e Detection: N₂ + GeCl₄ Ð GALLEX SAGE Cr1 Cr 0.1 $R = N_{\rm exp}/N_{\rm cal}$ GALLEX SAGE Cr2 GaCl Ar 0.9 + HCI (54 m³, 110 t) 0.8 $\overline{R} = 0.84 \pm 0.05$ 0.7 $\approx 2.9\sigma$ deficit $\langle L \rangle_{\text{GALLEX}} = 1.9 \text{ m} \quad \langle L \rangle_{\text{SAGE}} = 0.6 \text{ m}$ [SAGE, PRC 73 (2006) 045805; PRC 80 (2009) 015807; Laveder et al, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 168 (2007) 344, MPLA 22 (2007) 2499, PRD 78 (2008) 073009, $\Delta m_{\rm SBL}^2 \gtrsim 1 \, {\rm eV}^2 \gg \Delta m_{\rm ATM}^2 \gg \Delta m_{\rm SOL}^2$ PRC 83 (2011) 065504]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 44/108

- ► Deficit could be due to overestimate of $\sigma(\nu_e + {}^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow {}^{71}\text{Ge} + e^-)$
- Calculation: Bahcall, PRC 56 (1997) 3391



▶ $\sigma_{
m G.S.}$ from $T_{1/2}(^{71}
m{Ge}) = 11.43 \pm 0.03 \,
m{days}$ [Hampel, Remsberg, PRC 31 (1985) 666]

$$\sigma_{\rm G.S.}(^{51}{\rm Cr}) = 55.3 \times 10^{-46} \,{\rm cm}^2 \,(1 \pm 0.004)_{3\sigma}$$

•
$$\sigma(^{51}\text{Cr}) = \sigma_{G.S.}(^{51}\text{Cr}) \left(1 + 0.669 \frac{\text{BGT}_{175}}{\text{BGT}_{G.S.}} + 0.220 \frac{\text{BGT}_{500}}{\text{BGT}_{G.S.}}\right)$$

Contribution of excited states only 5%!

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 45/108

		BGT ₁₇₅ BGT _{G.S.}	BGT ₅₀₀ BGT _{G.S.}
Krofcheck et al. PRL 55 (1985) 1051	$^{71}{ m Ga}(p,n)^{71}{ m Ge}$	< 0.056	0.126 ± 0.023
Haxton PLB 431 (1998) 110	Shell Model	0.19 ± 0.18	
Frekers et al. PLB 706 (2011) 134	71 Ga $(^{3}$ He $, ^{3}$ H $)^{71}$ Ge	0.039 ± 0.030	0.202 ± 0.016

- ► The ⁷¹Ga(³He, ³H)⁷¹Ge data confirm the contribution of the two excited states.
- ► Haxton: "The calculation predicts destructive interference between the (p, n) spin and spin-tensor matrix elements"
- ▶ It is unlikely that the deficit is caused by an overestimate of the cross section.
- Possible explanations:
 - Statistical fluctuations.
 - Experimental faults.
 - Short-baseline oscillations.

Reactor Electron Antineutrino Anomaly

[Mention et al, PRD 83 (2011) 073006]



pprox 3.1 σ deficit



 $\Delta m^2_{
m SBL}\gtrsim 0.5\,{
m eV}^2\gg\Delta m^2_{
m ATM}\gg\Delta m^2_{
m SOL}$

5 MeV Bump



- Local problem with $\sim 3\%$ effect on total flux.
- It is an excess!
- It occurs both for the new high Muller-Huber fluxes and the old low Schreckenbach-Vogel fluxes.
- Real problem: apparent incompatibility of the bump with the β spectra from ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu measured by Schreckenbach et al. at ILL in 1982-1985.

Beyond Three-Neutrino Mixing: Sterile Neutrinos



Terminology: a eV-scale sterile neutrino means: a eV-scale massive neutrino which is mainly sterile

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 50/108

Sterile Neutrinos from Physics Beyond the SM

- ► Neutrinos are special in the Standard Model: the only neutral fermions
- Active left-handed neutrinos can mix with non-SM singlet fermions often called right-handed neutrinos
 Neutrino Portal [A. Smirnov, arXiv:1502.04530]
- Light left-handed anti- ν_R are light sterile neutrinos

 $\nu_R^c \rightarrow \nu_{sL}$ (left-handed)

Sterile means no standard model interactions

[Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP 26 (1968) 984]

- Active neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) can oscillate into light sterile neutrinos (ν_s)
- Observables:
 - Disappearance of active neutrinos (neutral current deficit)
 - Indirect evidence through combined fit of data (current indication)
- Short-baseline anomalies $+ 3\nu$ -mixing:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Delta m_{21}^2 \ll |\Delta m_{31}^2| \ll |\Delta m_{41}^2| \leq \dots \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \nu_3 & \nu_4 & \dots \\ \nu_e & \nu_\mu & \nu_\tau & \nu_{s_1} & \dots \end{array}$$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 51/108

- ► Here I consider sterile neutrinos with mass scale ~ 1 eV in light of short-baseline Reactor Anomaly, Gallium Anomaly, LSND.
- Other possibilities (not incompatible):
 - Very light sterile neutrinos with mass scale

 1 eV: important for solar neutrino phenomenology

[de Holanda, Smirnov, PRD 69 (2004) 113002; PRD 83 (2011) 113011]

[Das, Pulido, Picariello, PRD 79 (2009) 073010]

Recent Daya Bay constraints for $10^{-3} \lesssim \Delta m^2 \lesssim 10^{-1}\,{
m eV}^2$ [PRL 113 (2014) 141802]

► Heavy sterile neutrinos with mass scale ≫ 1 eV: could be Warm Dark Matter

[Asaka, Blanchet, Shaposhnikov, PLB 631 (2005) 151; Asaka, Shaposhnikov, PLB 620 (2005) 17; Asaka, Shaposhnikov, Kusenko, PLB 638 (2006) 401; Asaka, Laine, Shaposhnikov, JHEP 0606 (2006) 053, JHEP 0701 (2007) 091]

[Reviews: Kusenko, Phys. Rept. 481 (2009) 1; Boyarsky, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 59 (2009) 191; Boyarsky, lakubovskyi, Ruchayskiy, Phys. Dark Univ. 1 (2012) 136; Drewes, IJMPE, 22 (2013) 1330019]

Four-Neutrino Schemes: 2+2, 3+1 and 1+3



2+2 Four-Neutrino Schemes



► After LSND (1995) 2+2 was preferred to 3+1, because of the 3+1 appearance-disappearance tension

[Okada, Yasuda, IJMPA 12 (1997) 3669; Bilenky, CG, Grimus, EPJC 1 (1998) 247]

► This is not a perturbation of 3-ν Mixing ⇒ Large active-sterile oscillations for solar or atmospheric neutrinos!

2+2 Schemes are Strongly Disfavored



Solar: Matter Effects + SNO NC

Atmospheric: Matter Effects

$$\eta_{s} = |U_{s1}|^{2} + |U_{s2}|^{2} = 1 - |U_{s3}|^{2} + |U_{s4}|^{2}$$
99% CL:
$$\begin{cases} \eta_{s} < 0.25 & (\text{Solar} + \text{KamLAND}) \\ \eta_{s} > 0.75 & (\text{Atmospheric} + \text{K2K}) \end{cases}$$

[Maltoni, Schwetz, Tortola, Valle, New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 122]

3+1 and 1+3 Four-Neutrino Schemes



- Perturbation of 3-ν Mixing: |U_{e4}|², |U_{µ4}|², |U_{τ4}|² ≪ 1 |U_{s4}|² ≃ 1
 1+3 schemes are disfavored by cosmology (ΛCDM):
 - 1+3 schemes are distavored by cosmology (ACDIVI): $\sum_{k=1}^{3} m_k < 0.21 \,\text{eV} \text{ (95\%, Planck TT + lowP + BAO)} \text{ [arXiv:1502.01589]}$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 56/108

Effective 3+1 SBL Oscillation Probabilities

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{4} U_{\alpha k}^{*} U_{\beta k} e^{-iE_{k}t} \right|^{2} * \left| e^{iE_{1}t} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{4} U_{\alpha k}^{*} U_{\beta k} e^{-i(E_{k} - E_{1})t} \right|^{2} \to \left| \sum_{k=1}^{4} U_{\alpha k}^{*} U_{\beta k} \exp\left(-i\frac{\Delta m_{k1}^{2}L}{2E}\right) \right|^{2}$$

$$E_{k} \simeq E + \frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E} \qquad \frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2}L}{2E} \ll 1 \qquad \frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{2E} \ll 1 \qquad \Delta m_{41}^{2} \to \Delta m^{2}$$

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq \left| U_{\alpha 1}^{*} U_{\beta 1} + U_{\alpha 2}^{*} U_{\beta 2} + U_{\alpha 3}^{*} U_{\beta 3} + U_{\alpha 4}^{*} U_{\beta 4} \exp\left(-i\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{2E}\right) \right|^{2}$$

$$U_{\alpha 1}^{*} U_{\beta 1} + U_{\alpha 2}^{*} U_{\beta 2} + U_{\alpha 3}^{*} U_{\beta 3} = \delta_{\alpha \beta} - U_{\alpha 4}^{*} U_{\beta 4}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}^{\text{SBL}} &\simeq \left| \delta_{\alpha\beta} - U_{\alpha4}^{*} U_{\beta4} \left[1 - \exp\left(-i\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{2E}\right) \right] \right|^{2} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} + |U_{\alpha4}|^{2} |U_{\beta4}|^{2} \left(2 - 2\cos\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{2E} \right) \\ &- 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} |U_{\alpha4}|^{2} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{2E} \right) \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2|U_{\alpha4}|^{2} \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - |U_{\beta4}|^{2} \right) \left(1 - \cos\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{2E} \right) \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4|U_{\alpha4}|^{2} \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - |U_{\beta4}|^{2} \right) \sin^{2}\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{4E} \\ \alpha \neq \beta \implies \mathcal{P}_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq 4|U_{\alpha4}|^{2}|U_{\beta4}|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{4E}\right) \\ \alpha = \beta \implies \mathcal{P}_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\alpha}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq 1 - 4|U_{\alpha4}|^{2} \left(1 - |U_{\alpha4}|^{2} \right) \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m^{2}L}{4E}\right) \end{split}$$

 α



- 6 mixing angles
- 3 Dirac CP phases
- 3 Majorana CP phases

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 59/108

113004 (2013) 113004]

PLB 757 (2016) 142; Gandhi et al, JHEP 1511 (2015) 039] and solar exp. sensitive to $\Delta m^2_{\rm SOI}$ [Long, Li, CG, PRD 87,

Solar bound on $|U_{e4}|^2$

[Giunti, Li, PRD 80 (2009) 113007; Palazzo, PRD 83 (2011) 113013, PRD 85 (2012) 077301]

$$\begin{split} P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}^{\text{SOL}} \simeq \left(1 - \sum_{k \ge 3} |U_{ek}|^2 \right)^2 P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}^{\text{SOL}, 2\nu} + \sum_{k \ge 3} |U_{ek}|^4 \\ P_{\nu_e \to \nu_s}^{\text{SOL}} \simeq \left(1 - \sum_{k \ge 3} |U_{ek}|^2 \right) \left(1 - \sum_{k \ge 3} |U_{sk}|^2 \right) P_{\nu_e \to \nu_s}^{\text{SOL}, 2\nu} + \sum_{k \ge 3} |U_{ek}|^2 |U_{sk}|^2 \\ 3 + 1 \text{ with simplifying assumptions: } U_{\mu 4} = U_{\tau 4} = 0, \text{ no CP violation} \\ U_{e1} = c_{12}c_{13}c_{14} \quad U_{e2} = s_{12}c_{13}c_{14} \quad U_{e3} = s_{13}c_{14} \quad U_{e4} = s_{14} \\ U_{s1} = -c_{12}c_{13}s_{14} \quad U_{s2} = -s_{12}c_{13}s_{14} \quad U_{s3} = -s_{13}s_{14} \quad U_{s4} = c_{14} \\ P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}^{\text{SOL}} \simeq c_{13}^4 c_{14}^4 P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}^{\text{SOL}, 2\nu} + s_{13}^4 c_{14}^4 + s_{14}^4 \\ P_{\nu_e \to \nu_s}^{\text{SOL}} \simeq c_{14}^2 s_{14}^2 \left(c_{13}^4 P_{\nu_e \to \nu_s}^{\text{SOL}, 2\nu} + s_{13}^4 + 1 \right) \\ V = c_{13}^2 c_{14}^2 V_{\text{CC}} - c_{13}^2 s_{14}^2 V_{\text{NC}} \end{split}$$

 $= (|U_{e1}|^2 + |U_{e2}|^2)V_{\mathsf{CC}} - (|U_{s1}|^2 + |U_{s2}|^2)V_{\mathsf{NC}}$



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 61/108

Fit of solar and KamLAND data with Daya Bay and RENO constraint $\sin^2 \vartheta_{13} = 0.025 \pm 0.004$ and free $|U_{\mu4}|$ and $|U_{\tau4}|$ (neglecting small CP violation effects)



[Giunti, Laveder, Li, Liu, Long, PRD 86 (2012) 113014]

Tritium Beta-Decay



Mainz and Troitsk Limit on m_4^2



[Kraus, Singer, Valerius, Weinheimer, EPJC 73 (2013) 2323]

[Belesev et al, JPG 41 (2014) 015001]

Global ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ **Disappearance**



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 65/108

The Race for ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ Disappearance



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 66/108

$ar{ u}_{\mu} ightarrow ar{ u}_{e}$ and $u_{\mu} ightarrow u_{e}$ Appearance



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 67/108

$u_{\mu} \text{ and } \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \text{ Disappearance}$



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 68/108

3+1 Appearance-Disappearance Tension



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 69/108

Appearance vs Disappearance in $N = 3 + N_s$ Mixing

[Giunti, Zavanin, MPLA 31 (2015) 1650003]

$$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{4E} \ll \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E} \ll 1$$

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{k=4}^{N} |U_{\alpha k}|^2 \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - |U_{\beta k}|^2 \right) \sin^2 \Delta_{k1} \\ + 8 \sum_{k=4}^{N} \sum_{j=k+1}^{N} |U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}| \sin \Delta_{k1} \sin \Delta_{j1} \cos(\Delta_{jk} \stackrel{(+)}{-} \eta_{\alpha\beta jk})$$

$$\Delta_{jk} = \frac{\Delta m_{jk}^2 L}{4E} \qquad \qquad \eta_{\alpha\beta jk} = \arg \left[U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}^* \right]$$

Survival Probabilities

$$P^{\text{SBL}}_{\substack{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\alpha}}} \simeq 1 - 4 \sum_{\substack{k=4 \ N}}^{N} |U_{\alpha k}|^2 \left(1 - |U_{\alpha k}|^2\right) \sin^2 \Delta_{k1} \\ + 8 \sum_{\substack{k=4 \ j=k+1}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{j=k+1}}^{N} |U_{\alpha j}|^2 |U_{\alpha k}|^2 \sin \Delta_{j1} \sin \Delta_{k1} \cos \Delta_{jk}$$

Effective amplitude of $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\alpha}}$ disappearance due to $\nu_{\alpha} - \nu_k$ mixing:

$$\sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} = 4|U_{\alpha k}|^{2} \left(1 - |U_{\alpha k}|^{2}\right) \simeq 4|U_{\alpha k}|^{2}$$
$$|U_{\alpha k}|^{2} \ll 1 \qquad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau; \quad k = 4, \dots, N)$$
$$P_{\substack{(-) \\ \nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha}}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq 1 - \sum_{k=4}^{N} \sin^{2} 2\vartheta_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} \sin^{2} \Delta_{k1}$$

Appearance Probabilities ($\alpha \neq \beta$)

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}^{\text{SBL}} \simeq 4 \sum_{k=4}^{N} |U_{\alpha k}|^{2} |U_{\beta k}|^{2} \sin^{2} \Delta_{k1} + 8 \sum_{k=4}^{N} \sum_{j=k+1}^{N} |U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}| \sin \Delta_{k1} \sin \Delta_{j1} \cos(\Delta_{jk} \stackrel{(+)}{-} \eta_{\alpha \beta jk})$$

Effective amplitude of $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\beta}}$ transitions due to $\nu_{\alpha} - \nu_{k}$ mixing:

$$\sin^2 2\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} = 4|U_{\alpha k}|^2|U_{\beta k}|^2$$

$$P^{\text{SBL}}_{\substack{(-)\\\nu_{\alpha}\to\nu_{\beta}}} \simeq \sum_{k=4}^{N} \sin^{2} 2\vartheta^{(k)}_{\alpha\beta} \sin^{2} \Delta_{k1} + 2\sum_{k=4}^{N} \sum_{j=k+1}^{N} \sin 2\vartheta^{(k)}_{\alpha\beta} \sin 2\vartheta^{(j)}_{\alpha\beta} \sin \Delta_{k1} \sin \Delta_{j1} \cos(\Delta_{jk} \stackrel{(+)}{-} \eta_{\alpha\beta jk})$$
$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 2\vartheta_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} &= 4|U_{\alpha k}|^2 \left(1 - |U_{\alpha k}|^2\right) \simeq 4|U_{\alpha k}|^2\\ \sin^2 2\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} &= 4|U_{\alpha k}|^2|U_{\beta k}|^2\\ \\ \boxed{\sin^2 2\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} \simeq \frac{1}{4}\sin^2 2\vartheta_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}\sin^2 2\vartheta_{\beta\beta}^{(k)}}\\ \sin^2 2\vartheta_{ee}^{(k)} \ll 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sin^2 2\vartheta_{e\mu}^{(k)} \quad \text{is quadratically suppressed} \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, observation of $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\beta}}$ transitions due to Δm_{k1}^2 imply that the corresponding $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\alpha}}$ and $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_{\beta}}$ disappearances must be observed



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 74/108



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 75/108

Goodness of Fit

Assumption or approximation: Gaussian uncertainties and linear model χ^2_{\min} has χ^2 distribution with Number of Degrees of Freedom $NDF = N_D - N_P$ $N_D =$ Number of Data $N_P =$ Number of Fitted Parameters $\langle \chi^2_{\min} \rangle = NDF$ $Var(\chi^2_{\min}) = 2NDF$ $GoF = \int_{\chi^2_{\min}}^{\infty} p_{\chi^2}(z, NDF) dz$ $p_{\chi^2}(z, n) = \frac{z^{n/2-1}e^{-z/2}}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)}$ **Decomposed of Fitted**

Parameter Goodness of Fit

Maltoni, Schwetz, PRD 68 (2003) 033020, arXiv:hep-ph/0304176

- Measure compatibility of two (or more) sets of data points A and B under fitting model
- $\chi^2_{PGoF} = (\chi^2_{min})_{A+B} [(\chi^2_{min})_A + (\chi^2_{min})_B]$
- ► χ^2_{PGoF} has χ^2 distribution with Number of Degrees of Freedom NDF_{PGoF} = $N_P^A + N_P^B - N_P^{A+B}$
- $PGoF = \int_{\chi^2_{PGoF}}^{\infty} p_{\chi^2}(z, NDF_{PGoF}) dz$

MiniBooNE Low-Energy Anomaly



- Fit of MB Low-Energy Excess requires small Δm²₄₁ and large sin² 2ϑ_{eµ}, in contradiction with disappearance data
- ▶ MB low-energy excess is the main cause of bad APP-DIS $GoF_{PG} = 0.06\%$
- Multinucleon effects in neutrino energy reconstruction are not enough to solve the problem [Martini et al, PRD 85 (2012) 093012; PRD 87 (2013) 013009; PRD 93 (2016) 073008]
- Pragmatic Approach: discard the Low-Energy Excess because it is likely not due to oscillations
 [CG, Laveder, Li, Long, PRD 88 (2013) 073008]
- MicroBooNE is crucial for checking the MiniBooNE Low-Energy Anomaly and the consistency of different short-baseline data

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 77/108



No fit of low-energy excess for realistic $\sin^2 2\vartheta_{e\mu} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$

Global \rightarrow **Pragmatic**



APP-GLO: all MiniBooNE data

► APP-PrGLO: only MiniBooNE *E* > 475 MeV data (Pragmatic)

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 79/108

Pragmatic Global 3+1 Fit

Update of [Gariazzo, CG, Laveder, Li, Zavanin, JPG 43 (2016) 033001]



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 80/108

SBL + IceCube

[Collin, Arguelles, Conrad, Shaevitz, arXiv:1607.00011]



Red: 90% CL

Blue: 99% CL

3+1	Δm_{41}^2	$ U_{e4} $	$ U_{\mu4} $	$ U_{\tau 4} $	N_{bins}	$\chi^2_{ m min}$	$\chi^2_{ m null}$	$\Delta \chi^2 \ (\mathrm{dof})$
SBL	1.75	0.163	0.117	-	315	306.81	359.15	52.34(3)
SBL+IC	1.75	0.164	0.119	0.00	524	518.59	568.84	50.26(4)
IC	5.62	-	0.314	-	209	207.11	209.69	2.58(2)

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 81/108

Bounds on $|U_{\tau 4}|$



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 82/108

The Race for the Light Sterile



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 83/108

Effective SBL Oscillation Probabilities in 3+2 Schemes

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{kj} &= \Delta m_{kj}^2 L/4E \\ \eta &= \arg[U_{e4}^* U_{\mu4} U_{e5} U_{\mu5}^*] \\ P_{(-)}^{\text{SBL}} &= 4|U_{e4}|^2 |U_{\mu4}|^2 \sin^2 \Delta_{41} + 4|U_{e5}|^2 |U_{\mu5}|^2 \sin^2 \Delta_{51} \\ &+ 8|U_{\mu4} U_{e4} U_{\mu5} U_{e5}| \sin \Delta_{41} \sin \Delta_{51} \cos(\Delta_{54} \overset{(+)}{-} \eta) \\ P_{(-)}^{\text{SBL}} &= 1 - 4(1 - |U_{\alpha4}|^2 - |U_{\alpha5}|^2)(|U_{\alpha4}|^2 \sin^2 \Delta_{41} + |U_{\alpha5}|^2 \sin^2 \Delta_{51}) \\ &- 4|U_{\alpha4}|^2 |U_{\alpha5}|^2 \sin^2 \Delta_{54} \end{split}$$

[Sorel, Conrad, Shaevitz, PRD 70 (2004) 073004; Maltoni, Schwetz, PRD 76 (2007) 093005; Karagiorgi et al, PRD 80 (2009) 073001; Kopp, Maltoni, Schwetz, PRL 107 (2011) 091801; Giunti, Laveder, PRD 84 (2011) 073008; Donini et al, JHEP 07 (2012) 161; Archidiacono et al, PRD 86 (2012) 065028; Jacques, Krauss, Lunardini, PRD 87 (2013) 083515; Conrad et al, AHEP 2013 (2013) 163897; Archidiacono et al, PRD 87 (2013) 125034; Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, Schwetz, JHEP 1305 (2013) 050; Giunti, Laveder, Y.F. Li, H.W. Long, PRD 88 (2013) 073008; Girardi, Meroni, Petcov, JHEP 1311 (2013) 146]

- Good: CP violation
- Bad: Two massive sterile neutrinos at the eV scale!

4 more parameters: $\Delta m_{41}^2, |U_{e4}|^2, |U_{\mu4}|^2, \Delta m_{51}^2, |U_{e5}|^2, |U_{\mu5}|^2, \eta$

3 + 1

Global Fits	Our Fit		KMMS		
	3+1	3+2	3+1	3+2	
GoF	6%	10%	19%	23%	
PGoF	0.06%	0.3%	0.01%	0.003%	

- Our Fit: Gariazzo, Giunti, Laveder, Li, Zavanin, JPG 43 (2016) 033001
- KMMS: Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, Schwetz, JHEP 1305 (2013) 050



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 85/108

3+2 cannot fit MiniBooNE Low-Energy Excess



- ▶ Note difference between $3+2 \nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ histograms due to CP violation
- ▶ 3+2 can fit slightly better the small $\bar{\nu}_e$ excess at about 600 MeV
- ▶ 3+2 fit of low-energy excess as bad as 3+1
- Claims that 3+2 can fit low-energy excess do not take into account constraints from other data
- Conclusion: 3+2 is not needed

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 86/108

Neutrinoless Double- β **Decay**

 $m_{\beta\beta} = |U_{e1}|^2 m_1 + |U_{e2}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{21}} m_2 + |U_{e3}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{31}} m_3 + |U_{e4}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{41}} m_4$



$$m_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} = |U_{ek}|^2 m_k$$

 ${{
m surprise:}}\ {
m possible cancellation}\ {
m with } m^{(3
u)}_{etaeta}$

[Barry et al, JHEP 07 (2011) 091] [Li, Liu, PLB 706 (2012) 406] [Rodejohann, JPG 39 (2012) 124008] [Girardi, Meroni, Petcov, JHEP 1311 (2013) 146] [Giunti, Zavanin, JHEP 07 (2015) 171]



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 88/108



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 89/108

Effects of light sterile neutrinos should also be seen in:

• β Decay Experiments

[Hannestad et al, JCAP 1102 (2011) 011, PRC 84 (2011) 045503; Formaggio, Barrett, PLB 706 (2011) 68; Esmaili, Peres, PRD 85 (2012) 117301; Gastaldo et al, JHEP 1606 (2016) 061]

Neutrinoless Double-β Decay Experiments

[Rodejohann et al, JHEP 1107 (2011) 091; Li, Liu, PLB 706 (2012) 406; Meroni et al, JHEP 1311 (2013) 146, PRD 90 (2014) 053002; Pascoli et al, PRD 90 (2014) 093005; CG, Zavanin, JHEP 1507 (2015) 171; Guzowski et al, PRD 92 (2015) 012002]

Long-baseline Neutrino Oscillation Experiments

[de Gouvea et al, PRD 91 (2015) 053005, PRD 92 (2015) 073012, arXiv:1605.09376; Palazzo et al, PRD 91 (2015) 073017, PLB 757 (2016) 142, arXiv:1601.05995, arXiv:1603.03759, arXiv:1605.04299; Gandhi et al, JHEP 1511 (2015) 039; Pant et al, arXiv:1509.04096, Choubey, Pramanik, arXiv:1604.04731]

Solar neutrinos

[Dooling et al, PRD 61 (2000) 073011, Gonzalez-Garcia et al, PRD 62 (2000) 013005; Palazzo, PRD 83 (2011) 113013, PRD 85 (2012) 077301; Li et al, PRD 80 (2009) 113007, PRD 87, 113004 (2013), JHEP 1308 (2013) 056; Kopp et al, JHEP 1305 (2013) 050]

Atmospheric neutrinos

[Goswami, PRD 55 (1997) 2931; Bilenky et al, PRD 60 (1999) 073007; Maltoni et al, NPB 643 (2002) 321, PRD 67 (2003) 013011; Choubey, JHEP 0712 (2007) 014; Razzaque, Smirnov, JHEP 1107 (2011) 084, PRD 85 (2012) 093010; Gandhi, Ghoshal, PRD 86 (2012) 037301; Barger et al, PRD 85 (2012) 011302; Esmaili et al, JCAP 1211 (2012) 041, JCAP 1307 (2013) 048, JHEP 1312 (2013) 014; Rajpoot et al, EPJC 74 (2014) 2936; Lindner et al, JHEP 1601 (2016) 124; Behera et al, arXiv:1605.08607]

Supernova neutrinos

[Caldwell, Fuller, Qian, PRD 61 (2000) 123005; Peres, Smirnov, NPB 599 (2001); Sorel, Conrad, PRD 66 (2002) 033009; Tamborra et al, JCAP 1201 (2012) 013; Wu et al, PRD 89 (2014) 061303; Esmaili et al, PRD 90 (2014) 033013]

Cosmic neutrinos

[Cirelli et al, NPB 708 (2005) 215; Donini, Yasuda, arXiv:0806.3029; Barry et al, PRD 83 (2011) 113012]

Indirect dark matter detection [Esmaili, Peres, JCAP 1205 (2012) 002]

Cosmology [see: Wong, ARNPS 61 (2011) 69; Archidiacono et al, AHEP 2013 (2013) 191047]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 90/108

Effective 3+1 LBL Oscillation Probabilities

[de Gouvea et al, PRD 91 (2015) 053005, PRD 92 (2015) 073012, arXiv:1605.09376; Palazzo et al, PRD 91 (2015) 073017, PLB 757 (2016) 142, arXiv:1601.05995, arXiv:1603.03759, arXiv:1605.04299; Gandhi et al, JHEP 1511 (2015) 039]

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{e3}| &\simeq \sin \vartheta_{13} \simeq 0.15 \sim \varepsilon \implies \varepsilon^2 \sim 0.03 \\ |U_{e4}| &\simeq \sin \vartheta_{14} \simeq 0.17 \sim \varepsilon \\ |U_{\mu4}| &\simeq \sin \vartheta_{24} \simeq 0.11 \sim \varepsilon \\ \alpha &\equiv \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{|\Delta m_{31}^2|} \simeq \frac{7 \times 10^{-5}}{2.4 \times 10^{-3}} \simeq 0.031 \sim \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

At order ε^3 : [Klop, Palazzo, PRD 91 (2015) 073017] $\Delta_{kj} \equiv \Delta m_{kj}^2 L/4E$ $P_{\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}}^{\text{LBL}} \simeq 4 \sin^2 \vartheta_{13} \sin^2 \vartheta_{23} \sin^2 \Delta_{31} \sim \varepsilon^2$ $+2 \sin \vartheta_{13} \sin 2\vartheta_{12} \sin 2\vartheta_{23} (\alpha \Delta_{31}) \sin \Delta_{31} \cos(\Delta_{32} + \delta_{13}) \sim \varepsilon^3$ $+4 \sin \vartheta_{13} \sin \vartheta_{14} \sin \vartheta_{24} \sin \vartheta_{23} \sin \Delta_{31} \sin(\Delta_{31} + \delta_{13} - \delta_{14}) \sim \varepsilon^3$

CP Violation in T2K and NO ν **A**



Inverted Ordering: Better agreement of LBL & Reactors for $\delta_{14} \approx -\pi/2$

Cosmology

neutrinos in equilibrium in early Universe through weak interactions:

$$\nu\bar{\nu} \leftrightarrows e^+e^- \qquad \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}e \leftrightarrows \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}e \qquad \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}N \leftrightarrows \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}N$$
$$\nu_e n \leftrightarrows pe^- \qquad \bar{\nu}_e p \leftrightarrows ne^+ \qquad n \leftrightarrows pe^-\bar{\nu}_e$$

• weak interactions freeze out \implies active $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ neutrino decoupling

$$\Gamma_{
m weak} = N\sigma v \sim G_{
m F}^2 T^5 \sim T^2 / M_P \sim \sqrt{G_N T^4} \sim \sqrt{G_N
ho} \sim H$$
 $T_{
m $
u$-dec} \sim 1 \,{
m MeV} \qquad t_{
m $
u$-dec} \sim 1 \,{
m s}$

- ► relic neutrinos: $T_{\nu} = \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} T_{\gamma} \simeq 1.945 \,\mathrm{K} \Longrightarrow k \, T_{\nu} \simeq 1.676 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{eV}$
- number density: $n_f = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} g_f T_f^3 \Longrightarrow n_{\nu_k, \bar{\nu}_k} \simeq 0.1827 T_{\nu}^3 \simeq 112 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$

► density contribution: $\Omega_k = \frac{n_{\nu_k, \bar{\nu}_k} m_k}{\rho_c} \simeq \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{m_k}{94.1 \text{ eV}} \Rightarrow \Omega_{\nu} h^2 = \frac{\sum_k m_k}{94.1 \text{ eV}} \frac{1}{94.1 \text{ eV}}$ $\left(\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G_N}\right)$ [Gershtein, Zeldovich, JETP Lett. 4 (1966) 120; Cowsik, McClelland, PRL 29 (1972) 669]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 93/108

Power Spectrum of Density Fluctuations



hot dark matter prevents early galaxy formation $\delta(\vec{x}) \equiv \frac{\rho(\vec{x}) - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}}$ $\langle \delta(\vec{x}_1)\delta(\vec{x}_2) \rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} P(\vec{k})$ small scale suppression $\frac{\Delta P(k)}{P(k)} \approx -8 \frac{\Omega_{\nu}}{\Omega_{m}}$ $\approx -0.8 \left(\frac{\sum_{k} m_{k}}{1 \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{0.1}{\Omega_{m} h^{2}}\right)$ for $k \gtrsim k_{\rm nr} \approx 0.026 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\nu}}{1 \, {\rm eV}}} \sqrt{\Omega_m} \, h \, {\rm Mpc}^{-1}$

[Hu, Eisenstein, Tegmark, PRL 80 (1998) 5255]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 94/108

WMAP (First Year), AJ SS 148 (2003) 175, astro-ph/0302209 CMB (WMAP, ...) + LSS (2dFGRS) + HST + SN-Ia \implies Flat \land CDM $T_0 = 13.7 \pm 0.2 \, \text{Gyr}$ $h = 0.71^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ $\Omega_0 = 1.02 \pm 0.02$ $\Omega_b = 0.044 \pm 0.004$ $\Omega_m = 0.27 \pm 0.04$ $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 < 0.0076 \quad (95\% \text{ conf.}) \implies \sum_{k=1}^{3} m_k < 0.71 \text{ eV}$ k=1WMAP (Five Years), AJS 180 (2009) 330, astro-ph/0803.0547 CMB + HST + SN-Ia + BAO $T_0 = 13.72 \pm 0.12 \,\text{Gyr}$ $h = 0.705 \pm 0.013$ $-0.0179 < \Omega_0 - 1 < 0.0081$ (95% C.L.) $\Omega_{b} = 0.0456 \pm 0.0015$ $\Omega_{m} = 0.274 \pm 0.013$ $\sum m_k < 0.67 \, {
m eV} \quad (95\% \, {
m C.L.}) \qquad \qquad N_{
m eff} = 4.4 \pm 1.5$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 95/108

Fogli, Lisi, Marrone, Melchiorri, Palazzo, Rotunno, Serra, Silk, Slosar

[PRD 78 (2008) 033010, hep-ph/0805.2517]

Flat ACDM

Case	Cosmological data set	Σ (at 2σ)
1	СМВ	< 1.19 eV
2	CMB + LSS	< 0.71 eV
3	CMB + HST + SN-Ia	< 0.75 eV
4	CMB + HST + SN-Ia + BAO	< 0.60 eV
5	$CMB + HST + SN-Ia + BAO + Ly\alpha$	< 0.19 eV

 2σ (95% C.L.) constraints on the sum of ν masses Σ .



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 97/108

Planck Polarization Data



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 98/108

Planck Terminology

- ▶ TT denotes the Plank TT data (low- ℓ for ℓ < 30 and high- ℓ for ℓ ≥ 30).
- ▶ lowP denotes the Planck polarization data at multipoles $\ell < 30$ (low- ℓ).
- TE denotes the Plank TE data at $\ell \geq 30$.
- EE denotes the Plank EE data at $\ell \geq 30$.
- Lensing denotes the Plank weak lensing data.
- BAO denotes the Baryon Acustic Oscillation data.



```
Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey
(BOSS)
part of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey III
(SDSS-III)
Data Release 9 (DR9) CMASS sample
[arXiv:1203.6594]
```

Limits on the Sum of Standard Light Neutrino Masses



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 100/108

Sterile Neutrinos in Cosmology

- ▶ sterile neutrinos can be produced by $\nu_{e,\mu,\tau} \rightarrow \nu_s$ oscillations before active neutrino decoupling $(t_{\nu\text{-dec}} \sim 1 \text{ s})$
- energy density of radiation before matter-radiation equality:

$$\rho_R = \left[1 + \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} N_{\text{eff}} \right] \rho_\gamma \qquad (t < t_{\text{eq}} \sim 6 \times 10^4 \,\text{y})$$
$$N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}} = 3.046 \qquad \Delta N_{\text{eff}} = N_{\text{eff}} - N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}$$

sterile neutrino contribution:

$$\rho_s = (T_s/T_\nu)^4 \rho_\nu \implies \Delta N_{\text{eff}} = (\underline{T_s/T_\nu})^4$$

- ► sterile neutrino $\nu_s \simeq \nu_4$ with mass $m_s = m_4 \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_{41}^2} \sim 1 \text{ eV}$ becomes non-relativistic at $T_{\nu} \sim m_s/3$, that is at $t_{\nu_s\text{-nr}} \sim 2.0 \times 10^5 \text{ y}$, before recombination at $t_{\text{rec}} \sim 3.8 \times 10^5 \text{ y}$
- current energy density of sterile neutrinos:

$$\Omega_s = \frac{n_s m_s}{\rho_c} \simeq \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{(T_s/T_\nu)^3 m_s}{94.1 \,\mathrm{eV}} = \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{\Delta N_{\mathrm{eff}}^{3/4} m_s}{94.1 \,\mathrm{eV}} = \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{m_s^{\mathrm{eff}}}{94.1 \,\mathrm{eV}}$$
$$m_s^{\mathrm{eff}} = \Delta N_{\mathrm{eff}}^{3/4} m_s = (T_s/T_\nu)^3 m_s$$

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 101/108

Limits on Dark Radiation

[Planck, arXiv:1502.01589] Cosmological data set	<i>N</i> _{eff}
Plank TT + lowP	3.13 ± 0.32
Plank TT + lowP + BAO	3.15 ± 0.23
Plank TT, TE, EE + lowP	2.99 ± 0.20
Plank TT, TE, EE + IowP + BAO	3.04 ± 0.18



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 102/108

Limits on Massive Sterile Neutrinos

 $m_{c}^{\rm eff} < 0.52$ (95%; Plank TT + lowP + lensing + BAO) $N_{\rm eff} < 3.7$ 0.90 0.87 [arXiv:1502.01589] 4.2 0.84 • $m_s^{\text{eff}} \equiv 94.1\Omega_s h^2 \,\text{eV}$ 0.81 3.9 Thermally distributed: 0.78 q $N_{\rm eff}$ $f_s(E) = \frac{1}{e^{E/T_s} + 1}$ 0.75 3.6 0.72 $m_s^{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{T_s}{T_u}\right)^3 m_4$ 0.69 3.3 0.66 $= (\Delta N_{\rm eff})^{3/4} m_4$ 0.0 0.4 0.8 12 1.6 $m_{\nu, \, \text{sterile}}^{\text{eff}} \, [\text{eV}]$ Dodelson-Widrow: Samples from Plank TT + lowP in the $N_{\rm eff}-m_{\rm s}^{\rm eff}$ plane, colour-coded by σ_8 , in models with one massive sterile neutrino family, with effective mass $m_e^{\rm eff}$, $f_s(E) = \frac{\chi}{e^{E/T_{\nu}} + 1}$ and the three active neutrinos as in the base ACDM model. The physical mass of the sterile neutrino in the thermal scenario, m_{e}^{thermal} , is constant along the grey dashed lines, with the indicated mass in eV; the grey region shows the region excluded by our prior $m_{\rm s}^{\rm thermal}$ < 10 eV, which excludes most of the $m_c^{\text{eff}} = \chi_s m_4$ area where the neutrinos behave nearly like dark matter. The physical mass in the Dodelson-Widrow scenario, $m_s^{\rm DW}$, is constant along the dotted lines (with the value indicated on the adjacent dashed lines).

Standard Cosmological Scenario Mixing Bounds

[Mirizzi, Mangano, Saviano, Borriello, Giunti, Miele, Pisanti, PLB 726 (2013) 8, arXiv:1303.5368]



Non-standard mechanism for partial thermalization of ν_s is needed Large primordial neutrino asymmetry?

[Hannestad, Tamborra, Tram, JCAP 1207 (2012) 025; Mirizzi, Saviano, Miele, Serpico, PRD 86 (2012) 053009; Saviano, Mirizzi, Pisanti, Serpico, Mangano, Miele, PRD 87 (2013) 073006]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 104/108



C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 105/108

Tension between $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $m_s \approx 1 \, \text{eV}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Sterile neutrinos are thermalized } (\Delta N_{\rm eff}=1) \mbox{ by active-sterile oscillations} \\ \mbox{before neutrino decoupling} & \mbox{[Dolgov, Villante, NPB 679 (2004) 261]} \end{array}$

Proposed mechanisms to avoid the tension:

- Large lepton asymmetry [Hannestad, Tamborra, Tram, JCAP 1207 (2012) 025; Mirizzi, Saviano, Miele, Serpico, PRD 86 (2012) 053009; Saviano et al., PRD 87 (2013) 073006; Hannestad, Hansen, Tram, JCAP 1304 (2013) 032]
- Interactions in the sterile sector [Hannestad, Hansen, Tram, PRL 112 (2014) 031802; Dasgupta, Kopp et al, PRL 112 (2014) 031803, JCAP 1510 (2015) 011; Bringmann, Hasenkamp, Kersten, JCAP 1407 (2014) 042; Ko, Tang, PLB 739 (2014) 62; Archidiacono, Hannestad et al, PRD 91 (2015) 065021, PRD 93 (2016) 045004, JCAP 1608 (2016) 067; Mirizzi, Mangano, Pisanti, Saviano, PRD 90 (2014) 113009, PRD 91 (2015) 025019; Tang, PLB 750 (2015) 201; Cherry, Friedland, Shoemaker, arXiv:1411.1071]
- A larger cosmic expansion rate at the time of sterile neutrino production [Rehagen, Gelmini JCAP 1406 (2014) 044]
- MeV dark matter annihilation [Ho, Scherrer, PRD 87 (2013) 065016]
- Invisible decay [Gariazzo, Giunti, Laveder, arXiv:1404.6160]
- Free primordial power spectrum of scalar fluctuations (Inflationary Freedom) [Gariazzo, Giunti, Laveder, JCAP 1504 (2015) 023]

C. Giunti – Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos – III – Cours d'Hiver 2017 – LAL – 5 Jan 2017 – 106/108

Conclusions

Robust 3 ν -Mixing Paradigm $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ with $\Delta m_{SOL}^2 \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ with $\Delta m_{ATM}^2 \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ $\sin^2 \vartheta_{12} \simeq 0.3 \quad \sin^2 \vartheta_{23} \simeq 0.5 \quad \sin^2 \vartheta_{13} \simeq 0.02$ $\beta \& \beta \beta_{0\nu}$ Decay and Cosmology $\implies m_1, m_2, m_3 \leq 1 \text{ eV}$

To Do

Theory: Why lepton mixing \neq quark mixing? (Due to Majorana nature of ν 's?) Why $0 < \sin^2 \vartheta_{13} \ll \sin^2 \vartheta_{12} < \sin^2 \vartheta_{23} \simeq 0.5$? Experiments: Measure mass ordering and CP violation. Find absolute mass scale and Majorana or Dirac. Find if sterile neutrinos exist.

Conclusions on Light Sterile Neutrinos

- Short-Baseline ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ Disappearance:
 - Experimental data agree on Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ and Gallium ν_e disappearance.
 - ▶ Problem: total rates may have unknown systematic uncertainties.
 - ► Many promising projects to test unambiguously short-baseline v_e and v
 _e and
 - Because of 5 MeV bump we know that the calculated spectrum must be corrected: oscillations must be observed as a function of distance!
 - Independent tests through effect of m_4 in β -decay and $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ -decay.
- Short-Baseline $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ LSND Signal:
 - Not seen by other SBL $\overset{(-)}{\nu_{\mu}} \rightarrow \overset{(-)}{\nu_{e}}$ experiments.
 - Experiments with near detector are needed to check LSND signal!
 - Promising Fermilab program aimed at a conclusive solution of the mystery: a near detector (LAr1-ND), an intermediate detector (MicroBooNE) and a far detector (ICARUS-T600), all Liquid Argon Time Projection Chambers.
- Pragmatic 3+1 Fit is fine: moderate APP-DIS tension.
- ▶ 3+2 is not needed: same APP-DIS tension and no exp. CP violation.
- Cosmology:
 - Tension between $\Delta N_{\rm eff} = 1$ and $m_s \approx 1 \, {\rm eV}$.
 - Cosmological and oscillation data may be reconciled by a non-standard cosmological mechanism.

C. Giunti - Theory and Phenomenology of Massive Neutrinos - III - Cours d'Hiver 2017 - LAL - 5 Jan 2017 - 108/108